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Making a cookbook when you are a starving prisoner of war seems perverse at first, but it is precisely what Canadian Ethel Rogers Mulvany did. While interned by the Japanese in Changi Jail, Singapore (1942-1945) she collected recipes from her fellow female prisoners and had them transcribed into two ledger books. When she was liberated in September 1945, Mulvany took the collection with her thinking only that “there was so little to bring away that I seemed to want something under my arm.” In 1946, back in Toronto, Canada and having regained some of her health, she had 20,000 copies of the book printed and raised $18,000 to send food to former prisoners of war still hospitalized in England. Mulvany chose a poignantly straightforward title for her 100-page book: Prisoners of War Cook Book: This is a Collection of Recipes Made by Starving Prisoners of War When They Were Interned in Changi Jail, Singapore.

Mulvany was a survivor right from the beginning. She was born in 1904 in the middle of winter on the remote island of Manitoulin in Lake Huron, Ontario. Eight days later on 30 December, her mother died. Ethel was adopted by the local Presbyterian minister and his wife, Henry and Isabella Rogers and flourished under their care. She did well in school and at age 16 became a teacher herself for a few years before leaving the island to study and do social work in Toronto and Montreal. In May 1933 she set out on a trip to the Orient as organizing director of the Canadian Society for Literature and the Arts. While overseas she married British army doctor, Denis Mulvany and the two lived in Lucknow, India until March 1940 when Dennis was posted to Singapore. There, Ethel Mulvany joined the Australian Red Cross and became a senior representative. During the battle for the city in early 1942 she drove an ambulance and put her first aid knowledge to use.

She maintained her role as Red Cross Representative at Changi Jail and became involved in projects to give occupation and pleasure to the prisoners. In addition to the...
PRISONERS OF WAR
COOK BOOK

THIS IS A COLLECTION OF RECIPES
MADE BY STARVING PRISONERS
OF WAR
WHEN THEY WERE INTERRED IN
SHANGHAI JAIL, SINGAPORE

Compiled by
A. R. M.
the Changi quilts, these works of hand and heart reside in museums in Australia and England.3

The Mulvanys were interned separately in Singapore and seldom saw each other. Both survived the war but their marriage did not. She returned to Canada and later began the “Treasure Van,” part of the World University Service of Canada. She bought crafts internationally and sold them at university campuses across Canada. In her sixties she received her B.A. at Laurentian University and retired to Manitoulin Island where her B.A. at Laurentian University and retired to Manitoulin Island where she died in 1992. Ethel Mulvany, or “Mul” as she was called in Changi, was a force of nature throughout her life. In 1994 journalist Freddy Bloom, who was interned with Mulvany at Changi, succinctly summed up her life. In her sixties she received her B.A. at Laurentian University and retired to Manitoulin Island where she died in 1992. Ethel Mulvany, or “Mul” as she was called in Changi, was a force of nature throughout her life. In 1994 journalist Freddy Bloom, who was interned with Mulvany at Changi, succinctly summed up her memory of Mulvany during those years of imprisonment, “Ethel was larger than life, very beautiful with more energy than any human body could bear.”4 It was this unbearable energy that helped Mulvany cook with dreams.

The cookbook came to national public attention in Canada more than a decade after it was published when Maclean’s magazine journalist Sidney Katz transformed an extraordinary series of one-hour taped interviews he did with Ethel Mulvany into an article, “Miracle at Changi Prison: A Study in Survival,” published 12 August 1961. These fascinating conversations stray over much of Mulvany’s life but their main focus was on her prisoner of war (POW) experiences, including the story of the cookbook’s beginnings. The stories she tells on tape overlap with those she describes in her unpublished 119-page memoir, “The Burning of Singapore.” Together they provide an informative background to the development of the cookbook.

Until recently there has not been much interest in the historical analysis of cookbooks in general, but it is now a growing field with the examination of internee cookbooks just beginning. These other cookbooks provide a measure by which Mulvany’s can be compared. They were often, but not solely, composed by women, and were all produced under similarly dire conditions of war-induced hunger in places ranging from China to Germany. Most are held in archives around the world while a few have been published.5 In spite of being small and regular in form, recipes are valued by scholars for the stories they carry. Canadian folklorist Diane Tye argues that these pieces of writing “are similar to brief diary entries.”6 A comparable claim is made by culinary historian Cara de Silva about the recipes gathered by Mina Pachter while she was imprisoned in Ravensbrück concentration camp. De Silva thinks of these recipes as “a collective memoir” which is “in some ways as revealing as prose.”7

In the few discussions of prisoner of war cookbooks to date the issue of gender plays a role. Myrna Goldenberg asserts that whereas “most narratives and oral histories by men relate terrible hunger and the memory of wonderful food in the abstract,” the response to hunger in women’s memoirs is “rooted in the imagination, situated in the kitchen, and remembered through socialization.”8 De Silva suggests that the different reactions by men and women to hunger can easily be explained by experience. Furthermore, she adds that women were not alone in producing these unusual cookbooks: “While it is likely that, in general, more women than men concerned themselves with recipes in the camps – it was primarily women who cooked at home, after all – there was at least one other World War II cookbook created by men.”9 Although Goldenberg’s concern is with gender differences, she speaks to the broader issue of endurance, a factor relevant to a study of the Changi cookbook. By delineating the practical and concrete nature of food talk she develops insights helpful for understanding how a culinary imagination can be a survival tool. She argues that not only does the sharing of recipes strengthen social relationships, it fosters a sense of hope that one day some of the women will be free to make at least one of the recipes they have learned.

Like other prisoners’ recipe collections, the Prisoner of War Cook Book is not a wartime cookbook in the style of how to make more with less. It is quite the opposite – a collection of such rich confections and meaty main-course dishes that you might think the prisoners were teasing each other with descriptions of the unattainable. But Ethel Mulvany was a practical person. She believed that by discussing and writing recipes the prisoners involved in the cookbook enterprise were helping themselves slacken the pain of hunger. She wrote in her memoir, “Many of us slept with the feeling of having had a meal, after two or three hours of recipe writing.”10 For Mulvany and the other contributors it was a survival tactic. She knew the truth of what the editors of a recently published collection of essays on POWs’ creative projects spoke of when they summed up a prevailing theme among all their authors: “the artistic and the creative had a (utilitarian) function behind barbed wire: that of survival.”11

In a place such as Changi where the Japanese forbade diaries, Mulvany’s prisoner of war cookbook has historical significance.12 An examination of recipe titles,
ingredients and method descriptions provides a guide to the women’s POW culture. In a unique way it reveals the conditions of Changi and highlights the prisoners’ quotidian needs and desires. From this perspective the cookbook not only opens up the possibility for new avenues of exploration but also helps to identify some of the limitations of the existing research. Mulvany was not alone in appreciating the act of cooking with the imagination as is evidenced by the communal nature of the Changi book and by the fact that though rare, it is not one-of-a-kind. In this way her work has something to say about both the specifics of Changi Jail and the sustaining creativity of the human mind.

Changi Tea Parties

After the British surrendered Singapore to the Japanese on 15 February 1942, civilians, mainly British, Australian, American, Dutch and Eurasian, were interned in Changi Jail, a high security British prison newly built in 1936. The men were segregated from the women and children and all lived in crowded, harsh conditions. Built to house 600, 3,500 civilians were packed into the jail in a space of less than eleven acres of concrete buildings and exercise yards. Mulvany, like all the other women, shared her one-person cell with two others. The three had to arrange themselves around a raised concrete slab, intended for a bed, and a squat toilet. The ventilation in the jail, so important in a hot and humid climate, was very poor. The soldiers captured on the island, including Ethel’s husband Denis, were imprisoned a few miles away at the military compound. The experiences of Changi prisoners are known internationally but for Australians the name Changi has taken on mythic proportions. Historian Joan Beaumont writes that Changi became “emblematic of the suffering of over 22,000 Australian service men and women who were captured in the Asia Pacific region, almost a third of whom had died in captivity.” Despite this renown the stories of the women imprisoned by the Japanese in Changi and elsewhere are not well known and not only because diaries
were forbidden. Bernice Archer, author of *The Internment of Western Civilians under the Japanese 1941-1945*, notes that although approximately 40,000 civilian women were imprisoned by the Japanese, “public knowledge of the women’s war experiences are [sic] sketchy, and in some places...the women have been erased from the public memory.”15 Under these circumstances it is all the more interesting to study the POW cookbook for the small but valuable window it opens onto the lives of these almost forgotten prisoners and the creativity they showed. Although not a combatant, it is clear from the title of the cookbook that Mulvany considered herself and the other women to be prisoners of war.16

The women were responsible for their own organization at Changi. They appointed a camp commandant who liaised with the Japanese High Command and they had several committees to oversee the daily functioning of the prison.17 Self-government opened up a whole new arena for women accustomed to deferring to men in the world of colonial politics. Another change in their accustomed life-style concerned the camp cooking. This was done by the men in the kitchen situated on the men’s side of the jail and the food was then taken to the women’s side and distributed by the women. According to Archer it was common for men to do all the cooking in Japanese POW camps largely because of the heavy work involved. Chopping wood for fuel, hauling sacks of rice and preparing large quantities of food in blistering heat was physically exhausting work. Interestingly, in this role reversal the value of cooking took on a quality of manliness. The strength required for the job gave the men a sense of achievement in fulfilling their traditional roles as providers.18

Food rations at Changi jail, insufficient to begin with, were reduced throughout the war in quantity and quality. According to a postwar medical report, the food at Changi jail “was at no time except during the months of July and August 1945, adequate in quantity, or in food essential to maintain the internees in reasonable health.”19 Until they were liberated in September 1945, the prisoners suffered terribly from semi-starvation and related conditions such as beriberi, tropical ulcers, dysentery and oedema.20

We do not know exactly when the cookbook project started or how long it took but Mulvany says in the forward that the collection began after the prisoners had been jailed for “many months” and certainly it was long enough for the prisoners to have suffered significant weight loss; as she adds the biographical detail, “I made this collection when I weighed 85 pounds.”21 In August 1942, the prisoners voiced their objections about the food by submitting a petition demanding an increase in rations from the Japanese.22 Perhaps it was at this time that the project began.
Hunger made food such a natural topic of conversation that the prisoners often gathered in groups of five or ten in the Changi courtyard to discuss edibles. In her interview with Sidney Katz, Mulvany said that as inspiration at one of these gatherings, she had recited lines from the poem “The Depression Ends” by Canadian author E.J. Pratt. Written in 1932 at the height of the Depression, the poem is a dream-like vision of a table set in the sky laden with sumptuous dishes reserved exclusively for the world’s destitute. For their part, the women imagined they were setting their own tables at home and inviting each other to share their favourite dishes while they explained how each one would be made. Mulvany referred to these sessions as “tea-parties” and, just as for the real thing, they all dressed up in the best we had, some wearing imaginary corsages. “dressed up in the best we had,” just as for the real thing, they all lived in a different world. You lived in a party world.”

But in the end she says, “The dream it has vanished, once more we must scoop/Up a dollop of rice, and a ladle of soup.”

The women discussed, described and argued about food “for a few hours a day for months.” After the recipes were talked through each woman wrote her contributions down on stripped off margins of old copies of The Straits Times of Singapore that Mulvany had found in the dungeon of the jail. It was the job of the elderly and weak to copy the recipes into the first of the two old jail ledgers given to Mulvany by the Japanese. The ledgers, labelled Book I and II, have many but not all of the same recipes. Book I, transcribed in a wide variety of handwriting, has approximately 320 recipes most of which include the contributor’s name. Book II, in Mulvany’s handwriting alone, includes more than 50 menu-plans for seven-course meals and roughly 450 recipes not all of which are attributed to a particular POW. The printed cookbook that is the focus of this paper includes just over 400 of the original recipes.

The Pragmatism of Play

Throughout the war there were starving prisoners in other parts of the world doing exactly as the Changi prisoners were, talking and writing about food to help subdue the pain of hunger. In her introduction to In Memory’s Kitchen De Silva refers to Susan E. Cernyak-Spatz, a survivor of the concentration camps of both Terezin and Auschwitz, Poland who said that people in both places spoke of food so much that there was a camp expression for it: “We called it cooking with the mouth.” Bianca Steiner Brown, who was also a prisoner at Terezin, explained that “[i]n order to survive you had to have an imagination. Fantasies about food were like a fantasy that you have about how the outside is if you are inside.”

There is both a playful and purposeful aspect to these fantasies and those of the Changi “tea-parties.” George Eisen writes about the nature of play in the concentration camps of Europe but his arguments hold true for Changi, where inhumane treatment forced prisoners to rely on their imaginations for sustenance, arguably a form of play. According to Eisen, play is “the manifestation of a creative energy – an inherent psychological energy – that can burst forth in any circumstance or environment.” In the camps, however, play and games were transformed such that they “came to serve a purpose not normally attributed to them.” For both adults and children they became “defense mechanisms.” Eisen explains that adult play was not only well planned and rational it served an end. For prisoners “[t]rapped in a chronic powerlessness, adult play represented an important escape and coping mechanism both in the emotional and mental realms.” He continues on to say that whereas children’s play was about adjusting to reality, their elders found “every means, among them play, had to be harnessed to provide badly needed counterbalances to the crippling effects of reality.” Part of this counterbalance created by the Changi cookbook was the formation of a make-believe world to which the POWs could retreat – one that was inhabited by fictional characters and marked by the faraway signposts of home.

In her article Goldenberg focuses not on playfulness but on the coping aspects of the defense mechanism of food talk, stating it “was salutary because it fostered social relationships, reinforced religious values and rituals, and strengthened women’s sense of purpose. In turn
this strengthened their self-esteem and dignity, contributing to their
will to survive.”40 Although Mulvany never discussed the value of sharing
recipes in such words, she did believe in the pragmatic value of the project.
Imaginary parties, the writing of recipes and copying them into the
ledger books provided what she described as “employment” in a place
where there was little to do and an unending amount of time in which
to do it.41 In her 1961 interview with Katz she explained the importance
of writing the recipes: “we had our little tea parties, and then we must put
these recipes down, because when you don’t put something down, you
reckon it’s only going into the air.”42 She did not want their efforts wasted,
but most important for her was that food-talk “stimulated the flow of
our salivary glands and stomach juices and made us feel more alive.”43
Mulvany articulated what many other prisoners of war experienced
literally in their gut: thinking and talking about food activates the body.
Her theory of the physical effect of talking about food is supported
by the work of science writer Sharman Apt Russell in her book
Hunger: An Unnatural History (2005). Russell describes how the process of
digestion can begin with the mere thought of food. Chemical messages
flow through the cerebral cortex to nerve cells that in turn send messages
to the stomach and pancreas that then produce digestive acids and mucus.
Salivary glands are stimulated, the mouth waters and the body is
primed.44 Helping themselves in this way would have contributed to the
women’s sense of self-confidence and purpose of which Goldenberg speaks.
Hope is elusive at the best of times and under these conditions
it would have waxed and waned according to the latest rumours,
recent camp illnesses and deaths, and importantly, the amount of
food. Likely Mulvany began this

Sweets and Meats

The cookbook has a simple alphabetical index showing
traditional food groupings. An immediately striking feature is the
number of recipes for sweets and meats. An abundance of cakes,
puddings, candies and cookies fill the pages as do a range of red meat
recipes and fowl dishes. Semiotics scholar Wendy Leeds-Herwitz
suggests that during wartime people gravitate toward foods remembered
from childhood that are considered comforting. She gives the example
of changing eating patterns in Israel in 1991 when the country was being
bombed by Iraq. “Since the first missile fell, the sale of chocolate
has increased by 37%, the number of cookies has almost doubled and
the sale of frozen pizzas, instant soups and pre-made hamburger
patties has gone up by as much as 80%.”46 Although the Israelis had
not forgotten their vegetables, it is interesting to note, “For reasons not
entirely clear, but to the delight of many children, the sale of broccoli,
cauliflower and spinach has also fallen off.”47

The emphasis on sweets is seen in the prisoner recipe collections
produced by the women of Changi, Chefoo, Terezin, Ravensbrück and
Christianstadt. Most people have a natural craving for sweetness but
sugar also carries with it a history of luxury in British and European
cultures. The starving women cooking with dreams were completely free
to indulge their imaginations with sugary treats.48 This was certainly
true for Lily Casey while she was imprisoned in Chefoo, China during
the war. Her great granddaughter, British author Frances Osbourne
based her book Lilla’s Feast on Casey’s recipe collection, describing it as
having “chapter after chapter full of sugary, gooey treats. They take up a
good part of her book. Recipe after recipe of indulgent dishes.”49 The
same can be said for Edith Peer’s cookbook, Ravensbrück 1945, Fantasy
Cooking Behind Barbed Wire, written while she was in the all-female
concentration camp at Ravensbrück, northern Germany. Desperately
hungry and cold, Peer gathered paper and pencils and asked her
fellow inmates to write down their recipes. While the book contains 10
recipes for vegetables, there are 56 for sweets.50 The recipes that Mina
Pachter collected while in Terezin also show this tendency. Strudels, cakes
and sweet dumplings outnumber all the meat, vegetable and bread recipes
combined. Ruth Kluger, imprisoned in Poland’s Christianstadt labour
camp during the war, remembered a competitive feel to the talk of
confections during evening recipe recitals. Kluger describes cooking
matches: “A favourite game was to surpass each other with the recital
of generous amounts of butter, eggs, and sugar in fantasy baking
contests.”51

Cakes are of particular interest as
they contrast with everyday foods.
They are associated with special
occasions and celebrations, such as
birthdays, weddings and, in the case
of Mulvany’s cookbook, jubilees.
Not only can cakes be made in an
inspiring variety of flavours, they also
have an imminently decorate-able
architecture. They can be whatever you want, from trains to turtledoves. Cakes are foods we learn to love as children, and as food historian Mimi Sheraton says in her book *The Bialy Eaters*, “Few aspects of life inspire such persistent nostalgia as the foods of one’s childhood, reminders of the joyful security of home and family.”

**Changi Prisoners and their Culture**

Many community cookbooks include the name of the contributor with each recipe. In Book I of the original handwritten Changi cookbooks most of the recipes are attributed to an individual, however, the printed version of the text does not include names. Mulvany did not even use her own name but simply stated the book was “Compiled by E.R.M.” She claimed that the reason she did not include the contributors’ names in the printed cookbook was that so many had died that to name them was to disturb the peace of the dead; “I took their names away, I felt, better not call them... that sort of thing.” Although Mulvany would have keenly felt the loss of her POW friends and contributors it may also be that the cost of printing played into her decision to omit the names of the women who would at any rate have been unknown to Canadian buyers of the cookbook. The language of the book as a whole is informal, full of idiosyncrasies in terms of style of measurement, instruction and spelling. In her article “Claiming a Piece of the Pie: How the Language of Recipes Defines Community” food scholar Colleen Cotter suggests...
that this quality, common to community cookbooks as opposed to professionally published ones, is due to the fact that “the authors and addressees share a more symmetrical, peer-to-peer relationship and do not presume to set themselves apart, either by culinary expertise or editorial control.”55 Certainly the recipe for “Tapioca Cream” assumed the reader had considerable culinary experience. With no quantities or even proportions included, the complete entry read, “Boil tapioca. Add milk and sugar. When cool add stiffly beaten egg whites and cream. Chill.”56

For the most part the recipe titles indicate that British cooking was the dominant culinary influence reflecting the background of a majority of the women who participated in the project. The original ledgers did include some recipes outside the usual British fare but still from places within the Empire. Likely Mulvany chose not to include them in the printed cookbook because their ingredients would have been unknown and unavailable to most Canadian cooks in 1946. For example, the contributions of “Fowl Badum” and “Papaya Musket” from internee Mrs. Rosiland Von Hagt (née Passe), who was born and raised in what was then called Ceylon, called for saffron, roasted chillies, fenugreek, coriander, cumin seeds, ghee, cinnamon, curry leaves, papaya and cardamom. Others recipes that did not make it into print called for such tropical produce as mangosteens, passion fruit, and tamarind.

Loyalty to the British Empire is seen in the number of recipes referring to royalty. The king appears as a savoury dish, King George Cutlets. The meat is not identified nor the number of the George, but George VI was reigning at the time. Other royals referred to in the book – all sweet puddings – were a queen and two princesses. Although not individually named these do reflect the nucleus of the royal family at the time, Queen Elizabeth and her daughters Elizabeth and Margaret.57 “Palace Chocolate Cake” and “Palace Biscuits” were additional reminders of royalty. Humbler homes and families are evoked by the traditional Irish “Colcannon.” Likely more than just the contributor would have known the nostalgic folksong associated with the potato-based dish. The chorus ends with the sentiment: “Oh, wasn’t it the happy days when troubles we had not, / And our mothers made Colcannon in the little skillet pot.”58

Along with royal references and musically accompanied food memories, the cookbook included recipes named after well-loved fictional characters. As an example of Eisen’s notion of play as a counterbalance to reality, these recipe titles bring together in a make-believe world a complexity of desires for food, home, and the memories of childhood. “Oliver Twist Cake” carries the name of possibly the best-known orphan boy born in an English novel. Charles Dickens’ character, like the women cooking with their imaginations, was starving. But tiny Oliver is remembered for more than his hunger. He stands up to the daunting authority of Mr. Bumble, the Beadle, and ever-so politely insists, “Please, sir, I want some more.”59 Although Oliver would have been happy enough to receive another bowl of gruel, a slice of his namesake cake would have sated anyone with a hungry sweet tooth or a desperate need for calories. This basic white cake recipe is transformed into a rich concoction with brown sugar, spices, and raisins, a full cup of black currant jam, topped with “Jelly Foam Frosting.”

Another fictional character with a part in this book is Peter Pan. The mischievous, undependable, but charming boy first appeared in J.M. Barrie’s stage play Peter Pan; or, The Boy Who Wouldn’t Grow Up in 1904. Peter entices the children of the Darling family to fly away with him for adventures with pirates, fairies and lost boys in magical Neverland. The recipe for “Peter Pans,” seems well named after the troublemaker. Items are missing in the list of ingredients, as are the instructions for the fruit filling between the small cakes. The woman who contributed this recipe from memory may have just forgotten parts of it or she may have been unconsciously counting on the other women's culinary expertise to fill in the blanks.

The last, and, under the circumstances, not the least interesting fictional reference was to the Mikado, Gilbert and Sullivan’s comic opera set in Japan. First produced in London in 1885, the opera was the writing team’s most popular. The story revolves around the emperor’s edict that flirting was a capital offence; “All who flirted, leered or winked/ (Unless connubially linked), / Should forthwith be beheaded.”60 The prisoners would have enjoyed remembering the opera’s satirical jokes made at the expense of high-ranking officials. Although the opera was originally intended to ridicule British politics it did so by thoroughly denigrating the Japanese. The Changi POWs were not the only ones to make use of the Mikado’s cutting, and in these cases, subversive humour. Betty Jeffrey, an Australian nurse imprisoned in Sumatra during the war describes in her memoir a production of the opera put on by the women at her camp.61

The irony that the opera reflected the romantic situation at Changi would not have been lost on the prisoners. Male and female prisoners at Changi, even those “connubially linked,” were kept strictly apart forcing them, like the fictional characters, to invent creative, albeit risky, activities to circumvent the
restrictions they faced. Following the humorous musical theme, the “Mikado” recipe, for steamed pudding, is placed in the cookbook between “Melody” and “Encore” puddings. The only other reference to Japan in the cookbook is in the candy recipe for Mint Humbugs.

Brown sugar, butter, golden syrup and Oil of peppermint are called for. The last item, it was clearly noted, was to be “English not Japanese.”

To confirm that the mention of royals, fictional characters and a particular type of oil were indicative of more than just taste preferences, remembered dishes and desired food, we can compare the collection with the Changi quilts made by the women. Better known than the cookbook are three signature quilts made for the Japanese, British and Australian Red Cross with Mulvany’s help as Red Cross representative at the jail. Each woman who participated was given a six-inch square of cloth to stitch and told to “put something of herself” in the design. While the Japanese quilt had flowers and traditional cultural motifs such as the rising sun, the other quilts, intended for the military hospital where some of the women’s husbands were, had surreptitious messages stitched into them. Writing about the communicative significance of the quilts, internee Helen Beck said, “To those who would learn a woman’s point of view of internment, I would recommend a close inspection of the embroidered patches of the hospital quilts made for the Red Cross...these reveal more clearly than any essay the secrets of the heart.” Internee Sheila Allan stated that “the Japanese banned all patriotic gestures in the Camp” so symbols such as an Irish shamrock, a Canadian maple leaf or the heroism of St. George slaying the dragon that had been stitched into the quilts, “became as strong a display of nationalism and patriotism as we could express.” By the same token puddings named after royals and iconic fictional characters were clear statements of both loyalties and longings for home.

### Ingredients and Method

Generally the ingredients in the recipes are dominated by northern European, British and American food basics: butter, flour, eggs, milk, sugar, fresh and dried fruits, beef, chicken, pork, lamb, and root vegetables. What is perhaps more intriguing is the number of brand name items. Although mainly British and American in origin these foodstuffs were widely available before the war. The list includes: Lea & Perrins sauce, Marmite, Tabasco sauce, Bisto Gravy Powder, Libby’s ketchup, Libby’s evaporated milk, Nestlé’s powdered milk, Crisco, Quaker Oats, Cornflakes, Rice Bubbles (the Australian version of Kellogg’s Rice Krispies), Kraft Velveeta, Campbell’s Chicken Soup, Heinz spaghetti, and Lime Jell-O. Many of the women POWs would have grown up and learned their cooking skills as the prepared food industry was developing and so would have felt at home with these brands. Like the dependable cultural markers of Dickens, J.M. Barrie and Gilbert and Sullivan, these products could evoke the place and personalities of the home front. The raggedy waif-like Bisto twins in the gravy powder advertisements not only attained cult-like status on their own but also were reminiscent of Oliver Twist. In general we have a great preference for continuity in what we eat. As semiotician Leeds-Herwitz says, “We take it for granted that favourite foods will remain as we knew them in childhood, and a change in recipe surprises us, destroying memories, making us unhappy.” But of course eating habits do change over time and under pressure. Factors such as economics, convenience and availability would have affected the food consumed by the women POWs during their pre-war life in Malaya. Their culinary adaptation of British-style recipes to local ingredients is evident in some of the recipes. Leeds-Herwitz uses anthropologist Levi-Strauss’s term “bricoleur” to describe one who tries new ingredients in old recipes. An example of an adapted recipe in the POW cookbook is “Gula Malacca Blanc Mange.” The traditional and ubiquitous sweet milk pudding is reconfigured by substituting palm sugar and coconut milk. Possibly Mulvany included a few such adaptations to gently remind her readers of the origins of the cookbook.

For each ingredient in a recipe an amount is usually given using traditional measurements such as cups, gills, and spoons and on occasion unusual ones such as an eggshell to measure sugar. One Changi recipe, not from the POW cookbook but included in Gladys Tompkins’ memoir of her internment, makes use of humorous measurements. The recipe entitled, “Changi Con-fection, Con-glomeration or Con-cetration” calls for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flour</td>
<td>– none/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The recipe concludes with the jab: “Remember 1 pinch = 2 Nips.”

Beyond sharing knowledge of tangible tools the women shared a language of the culinary arts. Much like military jargon or soldiers’ slang, this language provided a group identity and a sense of belonging. Vocabulary items such as “knobs” and “pinches” have
specific contextual meaning related to the kitchen. The women could use these terms confident that their listeners understood. Another aspect of this culinary language that has military overtones is the use of the imperative verb form. Cotter notes this is a distinctive feature in recipes.²¹ Like concise military commands, a recipe orders an action such as beat, sift, shred, or boil. The authority of the POW cooks issued from a domain the Japanese could not enter – their domestic memories and imaginations. In a world the POWs had no control over and where they never knew what to expect or when their ordeal would end, the ordered world of a recipe would have been a place from which to draw strength to sustain themselves. The women did not want to be defined by absence – of food, of control, of family or home. Through recipes they could recapture all of these in their mind’s eye. They then went a step further and wrote down their dreams, not just descriptions but instructions on how to transform ideas into eatable reality. These short, episodic pieces of domestic writing provided their authors with flights of fancy and gave us a unique perspective on the lives of the women imprisoned in Changi jail.

Conclusion

Michael Berenbaum, former director of the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum Research Institute, wrote in the forward to In Memory’s Kitchen, that “The fantasy” of writing recipes “must have been painful for the authors. Recalling recipes was an act of discipline that required them to suppress their current hunger and to think of the ordinary world before the camps – and perhaps to dare to dream of a world after the camps.”²² Certainly it would have been an act of disciplined memory work to remember lists of ingredients, their quantities, and to bring to mind each ordered step of the method. But it was not the painful or perverse exercise one might have imagined given the terrible circumstances. It was the opposite. Rather than suppressing their hunger the women and men who wrote these wartime recipe books were acknowledging the magnetic attraction they had to food and giving voice to it.

Each imagined mouthful brought with it memories of family and friends with whom favourite foods were once shared. From references to royalty, brand-named goods from home and much-loved fictional characters, the women of Changi made use of some remarkable tools – their memories and imaginations – to sustain themselves. The women did not want to be defined by absence – of food, of control, of family or home. Through recipes they could recapture all of these in their mind’s eye. They then went a step further and wrote down their dreams, not just descriptions but instructions on how to transform ideas into eatable reality. These short, episodic pieces of domestic writing provided their authors with flights of fancy and give us a unique perspective on the lives of the women imprisoned in Changi jail.

Notes

I am grateful for the help and suggestions from Dr. Laura Brandon, Canadian War Museum, Ottawa, Professor Susan Whitney, Carleton University, Ottawa, Dorothy Nixon, Kathy Bergquist, Cathy Miller and Ethel Mulvany’s nieces, Marion King and Brenda Serné as well as Pat Costigan, curator at Mindemoya Pioneer Museum, Manitoulin Island and finally I am very thankful for the support of the Canadian War Museum’s Research Fellowship program.

1. Ethel Mulvany, Interview with Sidney Katz done in preparation for article by Katz, “Miracle at Changi Prison: A study in survival,” Maclean’s, 12 August 1961; Interview #6. The original ledgers containing the recipes are held by the Mindemoya Pioneer Museum, Manitoulin Island, Ontario.
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