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BRITISH BLOOD CALLS BRITISH BLOOD!

SONS OF BRITAIN and CANADA
RESIDENT IN THE UNITED STATES
JOIN YOUR ARMY HERE.
ENLIST NOW!
Abstract: This article explores the organization and activities of the British-Canadian Recruiting Mission which recruited more than 33,000 British subjects living in the United States for the Canadian Expeditionary Force in 1917-1918. Recruiting operations and cooperation with the United States Army are discussed and statistical summaries are presented showing the recruits’ origins, the corps they were assigned to and the effect on the reinforcement flow to select Canadian battalions in France. The article concludes that the Mission may have recruited a large number of men, but in the event was a failure because the vast majority of British subjects living in the United States ignored the call to arms.

During the First World War, a total of 593,079 men enlisted in the Canadian Expeditionary Force (CEF), an astonishing number for a small nation with only 1,526,133 men of military age.¹ Not all of these recruits lived in Canada; more than 57,000 were American residents. While many of these men made their own way to Canada to join the CEF, more than 33,000 were enlisted in the United States by the British-Canadian Recruiting Mission (BCRM). The contribution to the manpower pool by the BCRM was significant: more than 18 percent of all those who joined the CEF between June 1917 and September 1918 were recruited in the United States.² Despite this success, the BCRM has been largely ignored by most historians. The official history, written by G.W.L. Nicholson, for example, does not mention the mission, even in passing. This article will examine the origin, organization and operation of what was an unparalleled example of international cooperation.

The BCRM was not the first attempt to recruit men from the United States. In 1915, the eccentric minister of militia and defence, Sam Hughes, authorized the creation of the 97th Battalion in Toronto. Four additional battalions (211th, 212th, 213th and 237th) followed in 1916. Known collectively as the “American Legion,” these five units were intended to attract American-born recruits living in Canada.³ In the event, the legion proved to be an ongoing diplomatic embarrassment in part because of surreptitious attempts to recruit south of the border and in part because of the name. None of these units were overly successful and in practice enlisted men regardless of where they had been born. The 212th and 237th, both unable to attract recruits, were disbanded while the remaining three battalions were under-strength when they sailed to England. Their failure was largely attributable to American and Canadian legislation and regulations. Under American law, citizens who joined the CEF were deemed to have expatriated themselves or, in other words, forfeited their citizenship.⁴ Foreign armies, including the CEF, were forbidden by US law to recruit within the United States or to enter the United States with the intention of enticing men to leave in order to enlist elsewhere.⁵ Both provisions were reinforced in August 1914 by President Wilson’s proclamation emphasizing American neutrality.⁶ In Canada, CEF regulations and the Militia Act specified that recruits had to be British subjects thus excluding American citizens, although the British Army Act provided that one soldier in 50 could be an alien.⁷

The BCRM originated in February 1917 when Britain was facing a shortage of military manpower. The problem was serious and Army Council went so far as to advise Cabinet at the beginning of February that in the event of heavy fighting on the Western Front, it would be impossible to maintain the existing strength of the British army in the field.⁸ Not unnaturally, Britain looked for alternative sources of manpower, particularly the large number of British immigrants living in the United States. However, no effort could be made to recruit these men as America was a neutral country. But, the German resumption of unrestricted submarine warfare and the release of the Zimmerman telegram in February raised the possibility that America would join the war on the side of the allies. A
British officer, Brigadier-General W.A. White, and seven other officers were sent to join the British War Mission in the United States, an organization that coordinated the purchase of munitions, weapons and equipment, to prepare for the possibility of recruiting in the United States should the opportunity arise.9

American neutrality ended on 6 April 1917 when the United States declared war on the German Empire. The mood of the country was distinctly pro-Allied and after the British ambassador in Washington cleared the way, White lost no time in soliciting congressmen for permission to enlist recruits from the 700,000 British subjects in the US who were neither American citizens nor declarants.10 The results were almost immediate and on 7 May 1917 Congress amended the U.S. Penal Code to allow Allied nations to enlist residents who were not American citizens or declarants and had been born in the Allied nation concerned.11 The amendment, which allowed foreign armies to enter the United States and actively seek recruits, was a remarkable act of generosity and cooperation even though the potential recruits were not eligible to serve in the American Expeditionary Force (AEF).12

By 6 June 1917, the British Recruiting Mission, later renamed the British-Canadian Recruiting Mission (BCRM), started operations in New York.13 Developing the infrastructure and assembling staff took time and in the interim US Army recruiting offices assisted the BCRM by receiving applicants, conducting medical exams, providing subsistence and forwarding men to the nearest CEF depot in Canada or, in the case of Britons, Jews and Australians, to the Imperial Recruit Depot at Windsor, Nova Scotia.14

From a modest start, the BCRM expanded steadily. The headquarters was located in New York City and for organizational purposes the country was divided into three divisions: the Eastern Division based in New York City, the Western Division in Chicago and the Pacific Division in San Francisco. By November 1917, 27 recruiting depots had been established as well as mobile detachments that visited urban centres in the Western and Pacific Division. Canadians were part of every division, but the Western Division was staffed entirely by Canadians and commanded by a militia officer, Lieutenant-Colonel J.S. Dennis, a veteran of the Riel Rebellion and civil engineer with the Department of Natural Resources who later served in Russia as a staff
officer with the Canadian Siberian Expeditionary Force. In New England, the commanding officer of the 236th MacLean Highlanders not only recruited for his own battalion but also the BCRM in June-July 1917.

The BCRM received help from a variety of other sources. British consuls were instructed to assist the mission and 72 recruiting committees were formed by prominent Britons and Canadians living in the United States. Canadian bands and marching contingents from the 5th Royal Highlanders of Montreal, the 48th Highlanders of Toronto and the 236th Battalion, MacLean Highlanders, of Fredericton toured Boston, New York and Chicago in 1917 to whip up local enthusiasm while the following year a patriotic Broadway musical was produced. Written by Ian Hay, author of the best-selling First Hundred Thousand, with lyrics by a Canadian musician, Lieutenant Gitz Rice, Getting Together opened in New York and then toured Albany, Syracuse, Philadelphia and Boston.

Border crossing problems were non-existent. In June 1917, the superintendent of immigration noted that “for months past our inspectors have, all along the Boundary, admitted such men as applied for entry to enlist and were believed to be suitable.”

Special efforts were made to recruit French Canadians and in June 1917 Major J.J.O.L. Daly-Gingras, who had previously served with the 22nd Battalion in France and Flanders, was posted to the BCRM in the hopes of enlisting a sizable number of French-Canadian immigrants in the New England states. The results were disappointing and in August 1917, a Catholic priest, Captain F.C.D. Doyon, the former padre of the 22nd Battalion, reported that many had already joined the AEF as translators and the remainder anticipated being drafted in the near future. In summary, said Father Doyon, a concerted effort to recruit these men would be a waste of time.

BCRM recruiting in the United States encountered some obstacles. Most US Army recruiting stations cooperated with the BCRM, but a few were reluctant to provide assistance or tried to entice BCRM recruits into the American Army.
Local draft boards were also loath to lose potential recruits for the AEF and in Boston, an Irish declarant who tried to join the CEF was instructed to remain in the United States or be charged with desertion from the AEF. In Buffalo, a BCRM recruit from the engineer depot at Brockville was jailed for draft evasion when he returned home on leave in uniform. But others were more cooperative and in Chicago, Judge Stelk ordered non-US citizens held in the Cook County Jail to be taken to the BCRM recruiting office for attestation and transport to Canada.

BCRM recruits were documented and examined in the United States and then forwarded to a Canadian depot for attestation. But the men were not subject to military law until they were attested and there were no legal means of preventing them from deserting. In March 1918, about five percent of all BCRM recruits
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  - Highlighted states indicate the presence of one or more recruiting stations. Mobile recruiting teams are known to have visited many of the other states.
vanished before they arrived at a depot in Canada while in August 1918 Military District 2 in Toronto reported that more than nine percent had disappeared while in transit. Militia Headquarters responded by directing in April 1918 that men would be attested by BCRM recruiting detachments. Since only one of the three BCRM divisions was controlled by Canadians, it is unlikely that the revised procedure had much effect.

Judging by attestation papers, BCRM medical examinations were carried out by Canadian Army Medical Corps or Royal Army Medical Corps doctors or, on occasion, by civilian physicians. The number of applicants who were medically unfit is unknown although returns from New York City for July 1917 show that 32.8 percent were rejected. Those who had been passed as fit were reassessed by medical boards on arrival in Canada. Results probably varied by district, but in Military District 2, for example, four percent of all arrivals were turned down by medical boards. In all, it is estimated that about 63 percent of all BCRM applicants were fit for some form of military service, a rate roughly comparable to the results of Canadian medical boards. In all, it is estimated that about 63 percent of all BCRM applicants were fit for some form of military service, a rate roughly comparable to the results of Canadian medical boards that assessed men eligible for conscription under the Military Service Act. 

The 1917 amendment to the U.S. Penal Code stated clearly that the BCRM could only recruit British subjects who were non-declarants. Initially the BCRM adhered to the law, but this soon changed. In September 1917 Militia Headquarters notified BCRM that men who were not British subjects were welcome in the CEF providing they had been born in allied or neutral countries and could speak either French or English. Four months later, Ottawa decided to accept Syrians and Armenians from the Ottoman Empire, presumably because both were Christian minority groups with no love for their Turkish masters. A handful of men from other enemy countries (Bulgaria and Austria-Hungary) were also accepted. Russian and Austro-Hungarian Poles were not welcomed, at least in the Western Division. “These men [Polish applicants] are attracted, no doubt, by Canadian pay,” wrote Major-General Willoughby Gwatkin, the chief of the general staff to the Western Division in January 1918, adding “I do not think we ought to divert them from the Polish army to the CEF.” Men born in the United States were enlisted although most were either too old or too young for the AEF or had parents who were British subjects. Those who were identified on arrival in Canada as bona-fide American citizens were discharged. There were few Asians; the CEF was not willing to accept Japanese or Chinese recruits enlisted by the BCRM. However, the ban on Asian recruits was not absolute. At least two American-born Nisei were accepted, one from Korea (a Japanese possession), eight from Japan proper and one from British India. Blacks were also accepted and at least 49 from the British West Indies are known to have enlisted. (See Table 1).

### Table 1: Sample of BCRM Enlistments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location of Birth</th>
<th>Recruits</th>
<th>Proportion (%)</th>
<th>CEF Overall (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Isles</td>
<td>3,194</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>2,384</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Possessions</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of British subjects by birth</td>
<td>5,755</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>89.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allied Nations</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral Nations</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enemy Nations</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of Allied, Neutral and Enemy</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6,459</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A total of 77 men born at sea, in non-existent countries (Armenia and Poland), American dependencies (Puerto Rico and Philippines), in Egypt or in Dutch or Danish colonies have been excluded from Table 1.

The proportion of recruits born in the British Isles is surprising; these men should have joined the British army. Perhaps the higher pay for Canadian soldiers influenced their decision. The average age of the sample in Table 1 was 28.7 years as opposed to the CEF average of 26.3 years. About 75.6 percent of the BCRM recruits were bachelors while 79.6 percent of all CEF recruits were unmarried. More than a third of the CEF claimed former service of one kind or another compared to only 16.5 percent of those in Table 1.

The proportion of British ex-regular soldiers, however, was somewhat higher: 4.4 percent as opposed to the CEF with 3.1 percent. About 6.4 percent of the BCRM recruits claimed former service in the American forces.

The majority of BCRM recruits were mature men with no former service and their reasons for volunteering are not obvious. Some may have felt the call to duty: men such as 45-year-old William Perkins from Westford, Massachusetts. Born in New Brunswick, Perkins attested in Montreal in February 1918 together with his son who also lived in Westford and had been born in New Brunswick. Both returned to Westford after the war. John Walker of Bridgeport, Connecticut may have been similarly motivated.
because of eyesight. Evidently a determined man, he reapplied and attested in Montreal 29 January 1918. In Toronto, US-born Theodore Engman, a musician or carpenter (he claimed both occupations at various times), from Minneapolis was a naturalized Canadian and joined the Canadian Railway Troops in May 1918. Like the Perkins, he returned to the US after the war and died at Hennepin, Minnesota in November 1958. Others appear to have enlisted for personal reasons. Shosho Rehana, for example, an Assyrian Christian from Mesopotamia (now Iraq) living in Flint, was attested in London in January 1918. Demobilized in 1919, he returned to Flint where he worked for the Ford Motor Car Company. Many years later, his son claimed he had enlisted on the off-chance he would serve in the Middle East, closer to his family and friends. The son may have been correct; Rehana left Flint in the 1920s, returned to Iraq and worked as a mechanic at a Royal Air Force base.

The proliferation of the BCRM recruiting detachments meant that men were enlisted in every state as well as the District of Columbia, Hawaii and the Philippine Islands. Not all states were equally represented and a sample of 6,468 recruits shows that 37 states provided fewer than a hundred men each. More than half came from Massachusetts, New York, Illinois and California. The most productive region was the eastern seaboard which provided 2,692 men or 41.6 percent. (See Table 2).

The BCRM also sought recruits in Canada to support the Jewish Legion created in September 1917 at the behest of the Jewish community in Britain. The original intention was to raise five battalions of Royal Fusiliers (38th, 39th, 40th and 41st with the 42nd as a regimental depot) from British Jews. However, it soon became evident that the pool of recruits in Britain was too small to sustain the legion, and the War Office decided to recruit in both Canada and the United States.

Responsibility for recruiting for the Jewish Legion in Canada was assigned to BCRM headquarters in New York City, an unusual arrangement approved by Militia Headquarters in March 1918. To assist recruiting, the BCRM organized Jewish Recruiting Committees in Montreal, Toronto, and Winnipeg. Each committee was to “enquire into the antecedents and bona fides of the applicants and furnish each applicant with a Certificate to the effect that he is not within a class called out for service under the Military Service Act of Canada, and that he is in all respects a suitable recruit for enlistment in the British Army.” There was some ambivalence about ethnicity and religion and the BCRM instructed local committees that Russian, Polish, and Rumanian Jews were acceptable, but those from Armenia and Syria “ought to be classed as enemy aliens.” Recruits attracted by the Committees were processed by CEF recruiting centres and then transported by the Department of Militia and Defence to the Imperial Recruit Depot at Windsor, Nova Scotia.

However, there was no rush to the colours, perhaps because Jews who were British subjects were eligible for conscription under the MSA while many had already joined the CEF. Canadian Jewry was also divided and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence Claimed</th>
<th>BCRM Division</th>
<th>Recruits</th>
<th>Proportion of Sample (Table 1) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Eastern</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Western</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,389</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>52.4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A total of 69 men in the sample were transients, did not provide an address or provided addresses outside of the United States: Canada (two), Mexico (two) and Cuba (one). Only the most productive states are shown.
Zionist support for the legion may have inhibited recruiting, particularly in the Orthodox community. In Winnipeg, Rabbi Herbert J. Samuel of the Shaarey Zedek synagogue not only recruited on behalf of the legion, but announced he would volunteer as well. However, in a confidential letter to Prime Minister Borden, he opposed the legion unless Jews born in enemy countries were included as well. By mid-July 1918 it was apparent that recruits were few and far between and the Jewish Recruiting Committees were disbanded. The number of men enlisted in Canada is uncertain and official figures were never compiled, although Vladimir Jabotinsky, a former officer of the Jewish legion, estimated that about 300 Canadians were recruited, a figure confirmed by another veteran, Hyman Sokolov of Winnipeg.

Not all military districts in Canada benefited equally from the BCRM. Military District 2 in Toronto received the majority with more than 12,600 recruits enlisted by 7 September 1918, more than a third of all those recruited by the BCRM. The reasons seem fairly obvious. The Western Division HQ was located in Chicago which had direct rail connections to Toronto and the division covered a huge area, from Pennsylvania to the Rocky Mountains. A detailed breakdown of the allocation to each district has not been found, but partial returns illustrate the disparity (See Table 3).

The issue of which corps the recruits were assigned to caused some difficulties. Initially, BCRM recruiters promised applicants they could choose any branch of the CEF they wished and, not surprisingly, most opted to avoid the infantry. It must have come as a shock, therefore, that on arrival in Canada, most were assigned to the infantry. Not all accepted their assignment and in Military District 2 about two percent of all BCRM recruits refused to be attested and were returned to the US.

About 63.4 percent of all BCRM recruits who arrived in Toronto up to 18 August 1918 were fit for combatant service. The rest were assigned to duties commensurate with their medical category. (See Table 4).

By October 1918, the BCRM had provided more than 33,000 men for the CEF, a very substantial contribution to the national manpower pool. But how many served in France, especially with the infantry where the need for reinforcements was the greatest? Almost half of all BCRM recruits were enlisted after March 1918. Judging by those who served in the 20th Battalion, it took 28 weeks, or seven months, for the average BCRM recruit to reach the front lines after being attested in Toronto. Assuming that all BCRM recruits took seven months to reach France, it is probable that about 17,000 men were taken on strength before the Armistice of whom about 42.1 percent, or 7,100, were infantrymen, a total that would be consistent with Table 4. Had the war continued into 1919, as was universally anticipated until well into the summer of 1918, there is no doubt that the BCRM contingent would have made a much more substantial contribution. (Table 5).

The BCRM was useful but relied on voluntarism and was therefore limited in its effectiveness since non-declarant British subjects living in the United States who refused to volunteer for the CEF, AEF or British Expeditionary Force (BEF) could not be compelled to serve. Conversely, American citizens...
living in Britain or Canada could not be drafted by the BEF or CEF. To resolve this problem, Britain and the United States concluded a reciprocal convention that gave men a choice between returning home where they could be conscripted or remaining in their country of residence subject to local draft laws. The preliminary agreement was signed on 19 February 1918, but the American Senate insisted on amendments and it was not until 30 July 1918 that the reciprocal convention came into effect. With the introduction of conscription for those living abroad, there was no need to recruit volunteers in the United States and on 12 October 1918, the BCRM closed its doors.

The terms of the convention concerning Canada were simple and straightforward. All American citizens domiciled in Canada and all Canadian citizens living in the United States between the ages of 20 and 44 were liable to be conscripted in their country of residence unless they returned home. Both Americans and Canadians had a 60-day grace period in which to make a decision. For those who wished to return home, both the Canadian and American governments agreed to facilitate repatriation but the men were required to pay their own way.

Whether or not the reciprocal convention had any effect on the manpower pool is impossible to determine although it appears that the CEF had the short end of the stick. In the United States, the Provost Marshal General estimated that about

Table 5: BCRM Reinforcements – Select Battalions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Mar-May 1918</th>
<th>Jun-Aug 1918</th>
<th>Sep-Nov 1918</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Home Depot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd CMR</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>Toronto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th CMR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Toronto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Battalion</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>Toronto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th Battalion</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Winnipeg and Victoria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th Battalion</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th Battalion</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>Toronto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72nd Battalion</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>Vancouver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85th Battalion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Halifax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPCLI</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Ottawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>189</strong></td>
<td><strong>220</strong></td>
<td><strong>529</strong></td>
<td><strong>938</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Other than Private Fraser who was posted to the 16th Battalion on 16 February 1918, all BCRM reinforcements arrived between March and November 1918.

Officer of the Maclean Highlanders pose for a photo with the chief of clan, General Hugh Fitzroy Maclean (seated centre). In New England, the commanding officer of the 236th Maclean Highlanders not only recruited for his own battalion but also the BCRM in June-July 1917.
20,000 potential CEF recruits living in the United States chose to serve with the AEF rather than return home. In Canada, 18,372 Americans registered with consular officials after 30 July 1918 and were thus exempted from the MSA. No record appears to exist of the number of Americans in Canada who were drafted into the CEF nor is there any record of the number of Canadians who trekked north under the terms of the convention.65

During its 17 months of operation, the BCRM succeeded in finding 47,188 recruits – an average of 2,776 men every month. From a Canadian perspective, the results were gratifying with more than 33,000 recruits. From a British perspective, the results were less than satisfactory with only 7,210 men joining the British or Australian armies. Admittedly there were also 6,643 black and Jewish recruits, but the meager results hardly justified the time, effort and expense of running a large and elaborate recruiting structure in a foreign country.66 Not surprisingly, there was no equivalent to the BCRM in the Second World War.67 Still, the BCRM was significant. Not only did the CEF acquire a large number of men at a time when volunteers were few and far between, but the operation was an early example of the Allied cooperation that was so important in the Second World War.
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