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at times offensive by our standards, reflects a larger pastoral concern of a priest who was legitimately afraid of losing his parishioners to the large and established Jewish community of Antioch. Mayer and Allen are not uncritical of Chrysostom, but do place him firmly in his historical and pastoral context, with a solid and succinct overview of his rhetorical method within the conventions of ancient oratory. Of course, the book could say much more, but within the limits of a relatively short volume, Mayer and Allen produce a very workable and useful summary of the Golden-mouth’s life and legacy.

A pastor, preacher, or interested student of early church history will find this handsome and engaging book to open up the world of two ancient and influential churches, Antioch and Constantinople, through the life, homilies, and writings of one of their more memorable pastors. I highly recommend John Chrysostom as a good investment for one’s personal or church library.

Andrei S.P. Brennan
McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario

Bonhoeffer: A Theology of Sociality
Clifford J. Green
Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1999
392 pages, $31.95 Softcover

This volume makes available to a new generation of students of the theology of Dietrich Bonhoeffer a landmark work of English language scholarship long out of print in its original 1975 edition. Green’s work continues to represent one of the few enduring efforts in English to consider the developments and continuities of the whole of Bonhoeffer’s corpus of writings.

The revisions to the new edition are significant. The scholarly apparatus of the work has been updated, reference now being made to the critical edition of the Dietrich Bonhoeffer Werke and parallel English translations where available. Eberhard Bethge’s biography is also now cited in its recently republished and unabridged English edition. These revisions, in and of themselves, are invaluable. An index, absent from the earlier edition, is now also helpfully included.
As to content, there are several notable revisions. First, is the welcome excision from the opening chapter of Green’s survey and discussion of now-dated interpretations of Bonhoeffer from the 1960s. Second, is the addition of a chapter treating the Ethik, the absence of which was an oddity in the first edition. Third, is the inclusion as an appendix of some fifteen letters related to Bonhoeffer drawn from the papers of the late Paul L. Lehmann, including four letters, previously unknown, written to Lehmann by Bonhoeffer himself in 1932 and 1941. The correspondence is greatly interesting, but is most certainly an appendix to Green’s work, having little relation to the argument he presses or, indeed, even to the theme of sociality he traces in Bonhoeffer’s theology. In due time, these letters will be also appear in the appropriate volumes of the English Works.

The themes Green develops in this volume have in many ways become part of our present day common sense in relation to Bonhoeffer’s achievement. Bonhoeffer’s early theological works — the dissertation, Communio Sanctorum and the Habilitationschrift, Act and Being — it is urged, inaugurate an effort to recast the key categories of Christian theology in terms of sociality. Ecclesiology, but also subsequently Christology, come to be comprehended with reference to sociality, i.e., in terms of an social ontology of persons and relations, indeed of persons in relation. Bonhoeffer’s evangelical clarification of God’s freedom as “freedom-for-us,” the consideration of the presence of Christ as “Christ existing in and as community,” the shift of the christological question from the epistemological “how?” of the God-man to the personal “who?” of the Incarnate One, the development of soteriological ideas of Kollektivperson and Stellvertretung, and the treatment of sin as default on proper creaturely relations and reciprocal limitations — all of these, Green persuasively argues, evidence Bonhoeffer’s ongoing effort to make manifest the “social intention of all basic Christian concepts.” It is thus Green’s contention that attending to Bonhoeffer’s theological anthropology is as important to a proper grasp of his overall achievement as is consideration of his Christology. In fact, despite notable shifts in emphasis, the Christology, with its uncompromising ecclesiological setting, represents an effort at what the later Barth called “theanthropology,” i.e., a theological anthropology in which God and the human are thought only in terms of the reality of the reconciled
relation that obtains between them in Jesus Christ. This has important
soteriological consequences, the chief of which, Green argues, is to
make the problem of dominating power — its destructive application
and its salutary differentiation from creaturely capacity — central to
Bonhoeffer’s account of salvation in a way that sets him at some
distance from traditional Lutheran emphases. In each chapter, Green
extends his thesis to subsequent stages in Bonhoeffer’s work,
demonstrating how the “theology of sociality” formulated in the early
work continues to provide the decisive basis for later developments,
thereby illuminating a significant degree of continuity across works
often thought to be quite divergent, e.g., *Discipleship* and the *Letters &
Papers*.

Throughout, Green also contends for the importance of what he
calls the “autobiographical dimension” of Bonhoeffer’s theology, i.e.,
the manner in which certain key themes and emphases in the theology
reflect the circumstances of Bonhoeffer’s life. This is one of the
interesting aspects of the newly added chapter on the *Ethik*. Green’s
interpretation of these manuscripts lays particular stress not only on the
evident impress of the theology of sociality — seen, e.g., in the
centrality of vicarious representative action and the characterization of
mutually delimiting “mandates” on the model of collective persons —
but also on the text’s justification of active political resistance and
tyannicide, and the many not so veiled references to the immediate
context of Nazi governance in the text.

Philip G. Ziegler
Princeton University
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Pastors and academics with an interest in Luther will want to keep
this book within arm’s reach. Bernhard Lohse has produced a masterful
study which attends to the wide sweeping scope of Luther’s theology as
well as the intricate details at the necessary points. The subtitle of the