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Effects of Obesity-Inducing Ventromedial Hypothalamic Lesions on Pulsatile Growth Hormone and Insulin Secretion: Evidence for the Existence of a Growth Hormone-Releasing Factor*
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Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, McGill University-Montreal Children's Hospital Research Institute, and the Departments of Pediatrics, and Neurology and Neurosurgery, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3H 1P3, Canada

ABSTRACT. The nature of and neural mechanisms involved in GH and insulin responses to obesity-inducing ventromedial hypothalamic (VMH) lesions, which infringed on the arcuate nucleus, were examined in freely moving chronically cannulated male rats. Sequential 6-h GH and 3-h insulin and glucose secretory profiles were obtained in VMH-lesioned and sham-operated control rats. Obese VMH rats exhibited hyperinsulinemia with marked fluctuations in plasma insulin levels in the presence of normoglycemia. A striking suppression in both amplitude and duration of GH secretory episodes was observed, with GH peak amplitudes rarely exceeding 90 ng/ml compared to 500 ng/ml in sham-operated controls (mean 6-h plasma GH level, 17.6 ± 6.0 vs. 154.1 ± 17.8 ng/ml; P < 0.001). The periodicity of the GH rhythm was maintained, but light-dark entrainment of the GH pulses was lost. Passive immunization with a specific antiserum to somatostatin (SRIF) failed to restore the amplitude of the GH peaks or to alter significantly the 6-h GH secretory profile of VMH-lesioned animals. In contrast, the administration of SRIF antiserum to sham-operated controls caused a significant elevation of GH trough levels. In a second study, obese VMH-lesioned rats exhibited reduced pituitary GH concentrations compared to sham-operated controls.

The finding of a lack of effect of SRIF antiserum in VMH-lesioned rats provides good evidence that the suppression of GH pulses observed in response to lesions of the VMH is due to interruption of stimulatory pathways involved in GH regulation, namely GH-releasing factor neurons. The results suggest that the ultradian surges of GH release are dependent on the release of GH-releasing factor from the VMH-arcuate nucleus region of the brain. The data are consistent with the view that the obesity and GH suppression of the VMH syndrome reflect the disruption of two different neuronal systems. (Endocrinology 112: 212, 1983)
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LESIONS of the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMH) result in distinct behavioral and physiological abnormalities (labeled the VMH syndrome), the best known of which are hyperphagia, hyperinsulinemia, and obesity (1, 2). Since the first experiments by Hetherington and Ranson (3), it has been well documented that animals with VMH lesions show reduced linear growth (4–7). Subsequent studies have suggested an impairment in GH secretion, since both weanling (8, 9) and adult (10) VMH-lesioned rats exhibit suppressed plasma GH levels. These studies, however, were carried out before delineation of the ultradian rhythm for GH secretion in the rat (11) and were performed in animals that did not exhibit the classic VMH lesion-induced increase in body weight. Furthermore, the mechanism mediating the GH suppression in response to VMH lesions remains to be elucidated. It is currently believed that central nervous system control of GH secretion is achieved via the complex interaction of two hypothalamic hormones: a GH-releasing factor (GRF), as yet unidentified, and a GH release-inhibiting factor, the tetradecapeptide somatostatin (SRIF) (12). If the GH suppression observed in VMH-lesioned rats is due to hypersecretion of SRIF, administration of a specific antiserum to SRIF should result in an immediate and marked recovery of GH secretion, as occurs in other forms of SRIF-mediated GH suppression (13–16). Therefore, the first study in the present report was undertaken to characterize the dynamics of the GH rhythm in obese VMH-lesioned rats and to assess the involvement of endogenous SRIF in this response. Plasma insulin and glucose were simultaneously moni-
Materials and Methods

Animals and surgery

Adult male Sprague-Dawley rats, weighing 300–350 g at the start of each experiment, were obtained from Charles River Canada (St. Constant, Quebec). Chronic intracardiac venous cannulae were implanted under sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg, ip) anesthesia, as previously described (11). At the same time, electrodes, made from 00 stainless steel insect pins insulated except for 0.4 mm at the tip, were stereotaxically inserted, bilaterally, into the VMH. With the incisor bar at −3 mm, coordinates were: 2.3 mm posterior to bregma, 0.6 mm lateral to the midline, and 9.1 mm below the dura. Lesions were produced using a Grass Lesion Maker (model DCLM5A, Grass Instrument Co., Quincy, MA) and direct anodal currents ranging from 1 mA for 18 sec to 2 mA for 15 sec. Sham-operated control rats were treated identically to VMH-lesioned rats, but the lesion maker was not turned on.

Experimental procedure

After surgery, the animals were placed directly in isolation test chambers (lights on between 0600–1800 h). Purina rat chow and tap water were available ad libitum, and body weight was monitored daily. Only lesioned rats exhibiting a daily weight gain more than 3 SD above that of sham-operated controls were selected for study of the classic VMH obese syndrome. After recovery of preoperative body weight (5–11 days for shams; 2–4 days for VMH-lesioned rats), a 6-h hormonal profile was obtained for 30 min. The supernatant was removed and stored at −20°C until subsequent assay for GH.

Hormone assays

Plasma and pituitary rat GH concentrations were determined in duplicate by double antibody RIA using materials supplied by the NIAMDD (Bethesda, MD). The averaged plasma and pituitary GH values are reported in terms of the rat GH reference preparation (GH-RP-1). Plasma immunoreactive insulin (IRI) was measured by a dextran-coated charcoal method (21) using guinea pig antiporcine insulin serum. Purified crystalline rat insulin (lot 615-D63-12-2, courtesy of Dr. R. Chance, Eli Lilly Co., Indianapolis, IN) served as a reference standard. Plasma glucose was measured by an automated glucose oxidase method (Glucose Analyzer 2, Beckman Instruments, Palo Alto, CA).

Antibody titers in plasma of rats after the administration of SRIF AS

Antibody titers in plasma of SRIF AS-treated, VMH-lesioned rats were assessed by determining the ability of aliquots of rat plasma obtained 1 min before and 15 min, 3 h, and 6 h after SRIF AS administration to bind [125I-Tyr']SRIF. Plasma samples from each rat were diluted 1:100 in the SRIF RIA assay buffer, and binding to labeled SRIF was determined under the conditions used for RIA of SRIF (22).

Statistical analyses

In the first experiment, overall comparisons between groups were made using an analysis of variance. Individual comparisons after analysis of variance were made using the Newman-Keuls test (23). In the second experiment, statistical comparisons between the two groups were made with Student’s two-tailed t test.

Results

Behavioral and histological analyses

Behaviorally, VMH-obese rats were highly irritable, aggressive, and difficult to handle compared to sham-operated controls. VMH-lesioned animals (n = 6) recovered from surgery and gained weight more rapidly than did sham-operated control rats (n = 7; mean daily weight gain, 7.2 ± 0.7 g vs. 3.0 ± 0.2 g, respectively).

VMH-obese rats exhibited bilateral lesions that destroyed over 80% of the VMH and also resulted in appreciable damage to the arcuate (ARC) nucleus. A representative coronal section showing the largest extent of a

tored. In addition, a second study was designed to investigate changes in the pituitary GH concentration in view of previously reported inconsistencies regarding the effects of VMH lesions on the pituitary GH concentration (6, 8, 9, 17–19).
A typical lesion is illustrated in Fig. 1. The antero-posterior extent was from just caudal to the anterior hypothalamus to just rostral to the lateral mammillary nucleus [6.4-4.8 in the atlas of Pellegrino and Cushman (20)]. The lesions did not extend through the base of the brain, and the median eminence was spared. Laterally, the lesions reached the fornix, and in most animals, the walls of the third ventricle were distended.

**Effects of VMH lesions on GH, IRI, and glucose secretory profiles**

Figure 2 shows representative 6-h plasma GH and 3-h plasma IRI and glucose secretory patterns in a sham-operated animal in comparison to those in an obese-VMH rat. In sham animals, plasma IRI levels remained low (rarely exceeding 2.0 ng/ml) and fluctuated minimally over the 3-h period (Fig. 2A). In contrast, plasma IRI levels in VMH-lesioned rats were markedly elevated and showed wide and rapid fluctuations, with most peak IRI values ranging between 3-10 ng/ml (Fig. 2B). Mean 3-h plasma IRI levels were significantly elevated in VMH-lesioned rats compared to those in sham-operated controls (3.59 ± 0.83 vs. 0.99 ± 0.18 ng/ml; P < 0.05; Table 1). Plasma glucose levels remained stable throughout the sampling period in both groups, and no significant difference in the mean 3-h plasma glucose level was observed (Table 1).

Sham-operated animals exhibited the typical pulsatile pattern of GH secretion; two major episodes of GH secretion were evident during the 6-h sampling period. The GH secretory episodes had a rapid onset and were multiphasic in nature, with many peak GH values exceeding 500 ng/ml. Intervening trough levels were generally undetectable (<6.2 ng/ml, the least detectable concentration in our rat GH assay; Fig. 2A). In striking contrast, the 6-h GH profile of obese-VMH rats showed a marked suppression in amplitude and duration of GH secretory episodes. In these rats, peak GH levels rarely exceeded 90 ng/ml, and a large proportion of plasma GH values were undetectable (Fig. 2B). When distinct epi-

![Fig. 1: A photomicrograph (×31) of a coronal section of the ventral hypothalamus illustrating the largest extent of a typical bilateral electrolytic VMH lesion.](image-url)
sodes were discernible (four of six rats), the characteristic ultradian rhythm of GH secretion was evident. The mean period of the GH rhythm in VMH-lesioned rats (3.06 ± 0.28 h) was similar to that observed in sham-operated controls (3.32 ± 0.22 h). However, there was no evidence of entrainment of the GH pulses to the light-dark (L-D) cycle in VMH-lesioned rats; GH secretory bursts occurred randomly throughout the 6-h sampling period. Figure 3 illustrates the mean 6-h plasma GH levels of the two groups of rats. It is evident that mean plasma GH levels were severely suppressed in VMH-lesioned animals (17.6 ± 6.0 vs. 154.1 ± 17.8 ng/ml; P < 0.001; Table 1). Furthermore, distinct peaks and troughs were not observed in the mean 6-h GH profile of these rats (Fig. 3B). This is in contrast to the entrained pattern of GH secretion observed in sham-operated control rats (Fig. 3A).

Effects of SRIF AS on GH, IRI, and glucose secretory profiles in obese-VMH rats

Individual GH, IRI, and glucose secretory profiles in a VMH-lesioned rat administered NSS were compared to those of the same rat administered SRIF AS 5 days later (Fig. 4). In both cases, plasma IRI levels continued to be elevated and fluctuated markedly. The administration of SRIF AS had no significant effect on either plasma IRI or glucose levels (Table 1).

The GH profiles of VMH-lesioned rats receiving NSS still showed the marked suppression in GH pulse amplitude (Figs. 4A and 5), similar to that described above. Administration of SRIF AS to these rats failed to restore the amplitude of the GH pulses or significantly alter the GH secretory profile (Figs. 4B and 5). While plasma GH levels were slightly elevated 15 min post-SRIF AS administration, this effect was not significant. In addition, GH trough values were only minimally elevated compared to those of NSS controls (Fig. 5). There was no significant difference in mean peak, trough, or 6-h plasma GH level in VMH-lesioned rats administered SRIF AS compared to those given NSS (Fig. 5 and Table 1).

Figure 6 illustrates the plasma GH, IRI, and glucose responses to SRIF AS in a sham-operated control rat whose normal profile is shown in Fig. 2A. In contrast to what was observed in VMH-lesioned rats, the administration of SRIF AS caused a brief surge of GH secretion within 15-45 min after injection and significant elevation of subsequent GH trough levels. Plasma GH trough values in these animals never fell below 30 ng/ml during the first 3 h after SRIF AS injection and did not reach
FIG. 5. Mean peak GH levels (highest plasma GH value over the 6-h sampling period) and mean trough GH levels (lowest plasma GH value over the 6-h sampling period) in the five groups of rats. Each bar represents the mean ± SEM, and the number of animals in each group is shown in parentheses. ---, Least detectable concentration in the rat GH (rGH) RIA. *, P < 0.01 vs. all other groups without asterisks.

FIG. 6. Effects of SRIF AS on plasma profiles of GH, IRI, and glucose in a sham-operated rat whose normal profile is shown in Fig. 2A. The curved arrow indicates the time of iv injection.

The mean GH trough level of sham-operated, SRIF AS-treated rats was significantly (P < 0.01) higher than that of all other groups (Fig. 5). However there was no significant effect of SRIF AS on either mean amplitude of GH peaks (Fig. 5) or mean 6-h plasma GH level (Table 1). There was also no significant effect of SRIF AS on either plasma IRI or glucose levels in sham-operated, SRIF AS-treated rats (Table 1).

SRIF binding of rat plasma after SRIF AS administration

The mean binding of a 1:100 dilution of plasma 1 min before and 15 min, 3 h, and 6 h after SRIF AS treatment was found to be 1.4 ± .3%, 42.2 ± 1.4%, 39.8 ± 0.7%, and 36.1 ± 1.0%, respectively. Although the binding at 1600 h was significantly less than that at 1015 h (P < 0.05), it was still substantial.

Effect of VMH lesions on pituitary GH concentration

Table 2 documents that the obese-VMH rats in the second experiment exhibited hyperinsulinemia and normoglycemia similar to those observed in Exp 1. Furthermore, not unexpectedly, the VMH-lesioned rats were also hyperphagic (mean daily food intake, 52.6 ± 5.0 g vs. 33.3 ± 1.5 g in sham-operated controls). Sixteen days after surgery, lesioned rats showed a significant reduction in pituitary wet weight and in pituitary GH content and concentration compared to values in sham-operated controls (Table 2).

Discussion

The finding that obese-VMH rats exhibit hyperinsulinemia in the presence of normoglycemia confirms similar observations in previous studies (1, 2). Of interest in the present study is the pulsatile nature of IRI secretion observed in VMH-lesioned animals. Basal plasma IRI levels showed wide oscillations over the 3-h sampling period (while plasma glucose levels remained stable), a finding that was probably missed in earlier studies in which only single blood samples were obtained. The mechanism mediating the stimulation of IRI secretion
has been variously attributed to either direct disruption of neural connections between the hypothalamus and pancreas (e.g., vagus nerve) or indirectly to a humoral factor(s) released after hypothalamic injury. It is possible that the oscillations in plasma IRI are the result of disinhibition of a recently described intrinsic pancreatic IRI rhythm. Further work is necessary to clarify the mechanism responsible for these IRI fluctuations and to determine whether the other pancreatic hormones show similar oscillations as a result of VMH damage.

The ultradian GH rhythm was dramatically altered in obese-VMH rats. Their plasma GH profiles revealed a marked reduction in both amplitude and duration of GH secretory episodes compared to those in sham-operated control animals. These results indicate that GH secretion is severely impaired in obese as well as nonobese VMH-lesioned rats and provide further support for the hypothesis that the VMH region of the brain contains the neural substrates governing pulsatile GH secretion. The minimal episodic GH release observed in the present study may reflect residual functioning of this system.

The finding that the periodicity of the GH rhythm was maintained while L-D entrainment of the GH pulses was lost suggests that different neural circuits subserve these two parameters of the GH rhythm. The L-D entrainment of the GH rhythm has been shown to be disturbed by suprachiasmatic lesions (29) as well as by knife cuts anterior to the VMH (30), a pathway that was probably disrupted by the present VMH lesions.

The findings on GH in the present study are very similar to those recently reported in rats neonatally administered monosodium glutamate, an agent which selectively destroys neuronal perikarya in the ARC nucleus of the hypothalamus but spares the VMH (31–33). This raises a question as to the involvement of the VMH, per se, in GH regulation. Since the present lesions infringed on the ARC nuclei, it is possible that the observed aberrations of the GH rhythm are due solely to the ARC damage. On the other hand, evidence implicating the VMH as an important neural locus harboring the putative GRF neurons is impressive in that electrical stimulation of the VMH nuclei produced consistent rises in plasma GH (34–36), although current spread to the ARC cannot be ruled out in these studies. Furthermore, Bernardis and Frohman (9) demonstrated significant decreases in basal plasma GH levels in weanling rats as a result of small lesions limited to the VMH, and reported progressive decreases as lesion size increased, with consequent infringement on the ARC nuclei. Taken together, these findings suggest that both the VMH and ARC regions of the central nervous system are stimulatory to GH secretion.

One potential explanation for the observed changes in plasma GH levels may be related to direct pituitary dysfunction. In the present study, both pituitary wet weight and pituitary GH concentration were significantly reduced 16 days after the lesion. These results are in agreement with the majority of previous reports (6, 8, 9, 19). Only those studies in which the pituitary GH concentration was measured within 48 h after surgery (17, 18) report no effect of the lesion, suggesting that the pituitary GH depletion is gradual. A similar reduction of the pituitary GH concentration has been reported in most studies of monosodium glutamate-treated rats (31, 37), but not all (33). It is unlikely, however, that the plasma GH suppression was due solely to pituitary insufficiency for the following reasons. First, the plasma GH suppression should then parallel the pituitary disturbance; thus, the effect should be minimal early after the lesion and then gradually increase, but no such time course was observed in the present study. Second, the pituitary gland is considered to maintain its function in VMH-lesioned animals, since TRH has been shown to cause GH release (19, 38) despite a reduction in pituitary wet weight and GH content (19). Finally, in another model of hypothalamic injury (periventricular lesions), basal plasma GH levels have been shown to be elevated or at least normal in the face of significant reductions in the pituitary GH concentration (39). Thus, it seems reasonable to conclude that the suppression of GH pulse amplitude observed in VMH-lesioned animals is a direct
result of central nervous system intervention.

A second possible explanation may be related to disruption of the somatostatinergic neuronal system involved in GH regulation. That is, the GH suppression could be due to increased release of SRIF into the hypophyseal portal circulation. The finding that passive immunization with a specific antiserum to SRIF failed to restore the amplitude of GH pulses or to alter significantly the GH secretory profile of VMH-lesioned rats argues against this possibility. These findings differ from our previous passive immunization studies in which the same SRIF AS resulted in both a restoration of high amplitude GH pulses and an increase in mean plasma GH levels in starved (15) and diabetic (16) rats exhibiting suppressed plasma GH levels. It is unlikely that inadequate passive immunization can account for the lack of effect, since significant SRIF antibody titers were present throughout the sampling period. The results indicate that increased SRIF release is not the mechanism whereby VMH lesions cause suppression of GH secretion. These data provide good evidence that the suppression of GH pulses observed in response to VMH lesions is due to interruption of stimulatory pathways involved in GH regulation, e.g. putative GRF neurons. The findings suggest that the ultradian surges of GH release in the rat are dependent on the release of GRF from the VMH-ARC region of the brain.

In addition, VMH-lesioned rats did not exhibit the typical elevation of GH trough levels after SRIF AS administration, a response that was evident in sham rats of the present study and has previously been well documented to be the major effect of SRIF AS in normal rats in both this (40, 41) and other laboratories (42). It has been reported that lesions of the VMH result in an approximately 50% decrease in median eminence SRIF (43). Thus, in addition to disruption of the GRF system, SRIF release into the hypophyseal portal circulation may also be impaired in VMH-lesioned animals. A similar lack of response to SRIF AS administration has been documented in anesthetized rats exposed to ablation of the medial basal hypothalamus (MBH), indicating that an intact MBH may be necessary to elicit the GH response to SRIF AS (44). It is highly unlikely that the GH suppression in VMH-lesioned rats is due to disruption of SRIF release, since the results of several previous studies indicate that selective lesions of the primary somatostatinergic neuronal system, including the anterior hypothalamic-preoptic area (45, 46) or separation of this area from the MBH (30, 47), markedly augment plasma GH levels.

The joint occurrence of VMH lesion-induced obesity and GH suppression raises the possibility that they may be causally related. It is known that in man, obesity results in impaired GH release, which is restored when the obesity is corrected (48). However, it is unlikely that the GH suppression observed in the present study is secondary to the obesity, since similar suppression has been reported in nonobese, VMH-lesioned animals (10). The possibility that the GH suppression plays a role in producing the obesity must be considered. GH is known to influence the intermediary metabolism of lipids; it induces mobilization of fat from adipose tissue, and impaired GH secretion could result in a greater accumulation of fat (49). Furthermore, it has been reported that GH administration to hypophysectomized, VMH-lesioned rats prevents the lesion-induced obesity (50). However, marked obesity has also been observed in the presence of elevated plasma GH levels in animals with surgical isolation of the MBH (30, 47, 51). Thus, it is possible to observe hypothalamic obesity in rats exhibiting both suppression and hypersecretion of GH. These findings tend to confirm an earlier suggestion (9) that in the VMH-lesioned rat, the resulting obesity and GH disturbance reflect the disruption of two different neuronal systems.
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