

Dissertation Amendment

“On getting better and working hard: Using improvement as a heuristic for judging effort”

In Study 5, an error was detected in the coding of the temporal profiles in the low ambiguity condition. After correcting this error, the results of this study have changed substantially from those reported in the dissertation. Specifically, the improved profile was rated higher than the consistent profile in both the low and the high ambiguity conditions on perceived effort, trait effort, and deservingness ($p < .05$). However, the ambiguity \times profile interaction was no longer significant on any of these three variables ($p > .05$). Thus, providing an additional reference point to reduce ambiguity did *not* override the reliance on improvement as a heuristic to judge effort in the joint evaluation mode (Study 5); but only did so in the separate evaluation mode (Study 6).