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J.L. Ralston and the First World War
The Origins of a Life of Service

Daniel Byers

James Layton Ralston was a major figure in the military and political history of Canada in the first half of the twentieth century. He is remembered particularly for his role as minister of National Defence in the Second World War, during which he was forced to resign by Prime Minister Mackenzie King over his support for conscription for overseas service. Yet by the 1940s Ralston already had a long and rich career. He served in the First World War, rising to command the 85th Battalion (Nova Scotia Highlanders). He later headed a Royal Commission that reviewed veterans’ pensions in the early 1920s, and was minister of National Defence for four years in the late 1920s, the Liberal Party’s finance critic in the early 1930s, and finance minister for the first ten months of the Second World War – during which he set in place the foundations for Canada’s financing of that war. Despite Ralston’s place in these events, little is known about his background, and what motivated him as a public figure. His papers in Ottawa contain little material of a personal nature. All that has tended to be reviewed in any detail is a set of letters that he and his brother wrote home to their parents during the First World War. There is more to be gleaned from this correspondence and this is not the only available source which describes Ralston’s early life. This article reconstructs Ralston’s early career from these various sources, and highlights his participation in the First World War. As a study of these years reveals, the war had a major impact on Ralston and his family, in the same way it dominated the lives of hundreds of thousands of other Canadians. For Ralston, especially, his experiences tell us much about his many strengths of character, and hints at some of the less positive personal traits that would come to cause him difficulty in later years – as well as the origins of his devotion to veterans, and his views towards conscription that shaped his later positions as a public figure.
local adherents had responded to challenges to the relevance of religion that were created by Charles Darwin's theories on evolution by emphasizing a broader Christian duty to serve society. Ralston's behaviour later in life, both personally and publicly, indicates that he was raised in this tradition. For example, he was among the first members of the Halifax Rotary Club when it was created in 1913, in the early days of the international organization that still today advocates “Service Above Self.” In 1921 he joined James Davidson, a fellow Rotarian from Calgary, on a four-month trip to help spread the movement to Australia and New Zealand. He remained active in Rotary for the rest of his life. Ralston’s private correspondence also hints at his father’s hopes (and perhaps pressures) that his oldest son would go on to greater success than he had in public life. This again seems to have ingrained into Ralston the importance of public service.

“Layton,” as his family knew him, was born in 1881, the first of four sons, and was educated at the local Amherst Academy, before studying law at Dalhousie University. He became a partner in his uncle’s law firm in Amherst in 1903, and married Nettie McLeod in 1907. Nettie was also from Amherst, and Ralston had known her since childhood. The couple’s first and only child, Stuart, was born the following year. By the beginning of the 1900s, Layton had entered the political scene, running to replace his uncle as the federal Liberal MP for the local riding of Cumberland in 1908. Unfortunately, this election saw one-third of Nova Scotia’s seats shift from Liberal to Conservative control and Layton’s bid was unsuccessful. He was later elected as a provincial MLA for the same district in 1911, and re-elected in a wartime campaign in 1916. By 1911, Ralston was considering leaving Amherst for the greater opportunities that seemed to be offered in the Canadian West. But ultimately his sense of duty to his family, his friends and his community influenced his decision to remain in Nova Scotia. In 1912, Ralston moved to the provincial centre of Halifax to join his life-long friend Charles (“Charlie”) Burchell, along with the province’s former attorney-general and the city’s current federal Liberal MP, A.K. Maclean, to form one of the more important law firms in the city.

The Halifax to which Ralston came as a 31-year-old lawyer in 1912 was experiencing a time of transition. The city had been established to support trade, and as a military garrison and naval base, and had flourished in times of conflict on the north Atlantic, including the US Civil War. Its fortunes began to fade in the late 1800s, along with the rest of the Maritimes, as steam replaced sail with Montreal and New York attracting the most lucrative fast shipping services, and Confederation and the national policy of John A. Macdonald drew economic activity away to central Canada. British rapprochement with the United States to meet increasing challenges in Europe then drew away the Royal Navy in 1904, when the naval yard closed, and the British Army garrison, the last in Canada, soon followed in 1905-6. Yet Halifax began to experience a revival. It became a local industrial centre, and a commercial centre for goods being shipped to and from other parts of Canada. By 1911, it was the eighth largest city in the country, with a population of 46,619, having grown 14 percent in the previous decade. The total population, including its surrounding county, was 80,257. The rising prosperity of Halifax offered many opportunities for a young and hard-working lawyer such as Ralston. The practice of law in most of Canada at the time was largely conducted with little to no formal training, and was reserved to a relatively small and close-knit group of men. The establishment of the Dalhousie University Law School in 1883, however, was a harbinger of change. As part of the first generation of professionally-trained Dalhousie graduates, Ralston possessed skills and knowledge that were highly sought after by the Halifax business community.
By 1914 Ralston was on his way to becoming a prominent figure in Halifax.

Just as Ralston’s life was becoming relatively established on the personal front, however, the First World War broke out. He was almost 34 years old and, with a wife and son and no military training or experience, he did not follow the lead of many Canadians and rush off to volunteer. Instead, he went through several agonizing months of doubt as he sought to serve both his family and his community. As he noted in a letter to his parents in November 1914, he could afford to volunteer since doing so would not put other people out of work, or unduly harm his family’s financial situation. And he saw that such a decision would provide leadership to others, while at the same time he worried that remaining at home might make him seem afraid to serve. Yet he also sensed that public sentiment was tending to hasten people into service, and, as he put it, “I am not going to let myself be stampeded into wronging Nettie and Stuart for whose comfort and happiness I am responsible, unless the other duty is in truth the greater one.”17

Still, Ralston tried to satisfy both impulses by training in the fall of 1914 as a civilian member of the Dalhousie University contingent of the Canadian Officers’ Training Corps.18 The COTC had been founded just before the war to provide military training to students in Canadian universities. It expanded rapidly after August 1914, and many members of the general public joined contingents.19 Ralston earned certificates qualifying him for the rank of lieutenant and then captain at the Royal School of Infantry in Halifax in the summer of 1915.20

Ralston’s letters in this period also suggest how his sense of duty led him to respond to what he felt were the needs of the community, over those of himself and his family. Thus he took on many additional responsibilities. In one week in February 1915, for example, he did two hours of military drill with the COTC, while serving as chairman of his local church’s musical committee, working on two court trials, attending sittings of the Nova Scotia provincial assembly, preparing two separate speeches for the Halifax Rotary Club and the city’s Board of Trade, and readying himself to participate in a debate at the local Canadian Club. By chance he found himself arguing against the need for conscription as part of Canada’s war effort.21

Two events seem to have finally brought Ralston to volunteer for overseas service. The first was the decision of his brother Ivan to volunteer. Ivan was also trained as a lawyer, and had found his way to Montreal a few years before the war to work for the Montreal Trust Company. At 25 years old, he was the youngest of the four Ralston brothers, and had suffered a back injury playing football in his earlier years.22 As a result, both Layton and his parents were quite concerned when Ivan chose to enlist with the Canadian Grenadier Guards, a Montreal militia regiment, in October 1914. Yet, as Ivan explained in a letter to his father, he was not simply getting caught up in events, but taking part out of the sense of duty instilled by his parents. “If the call comes,” he noted, “I feel that you will be proud that I am ready to take my share of the burden.”23 Ivan trained with the Canadian Grenadier Guards over the winter of 1914-1915, and then joined the newly-formed 60th Battalion, which was connected with the Victoria Rifles of Canada, as a captain in command of “B” Company in mid-1915. He went overseas with the battalion in November.24

The second development that influenced Ralston’s decision to volunteer was a movement by prominent Nova Scotians to raise a Scottish-style highland battalion to represent the province in the burgeoning national military effort. Given his social position in Halifax, Ralston felt that he could no longer justify his decision not to serve. “I am afraid,” he wrote to his parents, “that people are beginning to think that those who are in more or less public positions and who should be preaching by example are content to...suggest with much fervour that everybody but themselves should go.”25 The 85th Battalion began recruiting in September 1915, and within a few weeks was 200 men over strength. It was so successful that military officials soon authorized supporters to raise a full highland brigade of four battalions.26

Like his brother, Layton became a captain involved in raising and training one of the unit’s companies. His organizational skills and capacity for work were recognized early on by his superiors, and by February 1916 he had become the battalion’s adjutant, a position second in importance only to the battalion commander. Layton
was responsible for supervising the daily routine within the unit, as well as discipline and training, and ensuring that orders delivered from higher authorities were carried out. As historian Kenneth Radley concludes in describing such duties, the adjutant was essentially the “chief executive officer” of a First World War battalion. Layton seemed to be a good choice for the position, and in October 1916 he went overseas in that role with the 85th, almost exactly a year after Ivan had left Canada.

Ivan led the way in establishing the Ralston family’s reputation in France. As Layton reported after he reached England in January 1917, “I have met a number of officers from his regiment and they all seem to think a good deal of Ivan. One of the chaps was one of his seconds in command and told me that he would go with Ivan anywhere.” Layton later added that “The more I hear of him from outside the prouder I am of him. Everybody has a good word for him and some people have even two good words.” In early June 1916, Ivan had been made acting second-in-command of his battalion, an appointment that likely saved his life, as the four remaining officers in his company died during the Battle of Mount Sorrel in the Ypres Salient. Ivan was slightly wounded in the hand by a shell fragment at around the same time. In writing home to his parents, he acknowledged that he had been wounded once before, but not seriously enough to formally report it.

In August 1916 Ivan was awarded the Military Cross for his actions while helping to halt a German attack on Hill 60 in the same Ypres sector. According to the citation, he was “twice buried by shell fire... [but] refused to leave the front line” for 48 hours until he knew that the position was secure. He went on leave to England a few weeks later, and King George V pinned the medal on him in a ceremony at Buckingham Palace. Like many other soldiers he downplayed his accomplishments, arguing that he had been singled out simply for enduring what every soldier experienced on the Western Front, and that if he deserved recognition then so did every person in his company. In fact, the entire 60th Battalion was specifically recognized by the army commander for its performance at Hill 60, as a result of the actions of Ivan’s company. In early 1917 he was sent to London to take the Senior Officers’ Course, to prepare him to take command of a battalion.

Arguably, Layton’s later success as a battalion commander was at least partly due to his ability to adopt this less anxious attitude towards his duties. In January 1918, when he attended the same Senior Officers’ Course that Ivan had taken a year earlier, he made a point of leaving camp every weekend to visit London and spend time going to shows and sight-seeing with various acquaintances. Although Layton and his 85th Battalion were sent to France in February 1917. In his first months as the battalion adjutant, he showed signs of worrying excessively over his duties. As Ivan commented in one letter, Layton was “probably as busy as he can be thinking that the whole war depends on him working until midnight instead of a respectable hours [sic].” A few weeks later, Ivan added:

He and I have some great arguments as to his duties. He practically runs the whole battalion and seems to think that he is supposed to do so. I told him yesterday that his principal work seemed to be to keep the Colonel from having anything to worry about.

Layton does seem to have learned to relax and delegate some of his responsibilities over the next few months. After the 85th reached the front lines in France, Ivan noted that
he took notes on the subjects that were discussed, he recognized that the real lessons of the course came from sharing ideas and experiences with fellow seconds-in-command and commanding officers. As he wrote to his parents, “Practically all [participants] have been to France for periods extending from 3-4 months to 6 months and all with ideas to exchange. The benefit to be derived is as much from the conferences as from the Instructors themselves.”

By then, the 85th Battalion had been fully engaged in operations for months as part of the Canadian Corps. When the unit first arrived in France it was intended to replace the 73rd Battalion, as part of a plan to reduce the number of units from Montreal in the front lines. But this could not be done immediately. During the Battle of Vimy Ridge in April 1917, the 85th was attached to 4th Canadian Division as a reserve battalion, to carry out various support duties. Other Canadian units fell just short of capturing some of their final objectives during the first few hours of the attack on 9 April, and the 85th was ordered to complete the task by attacking the right flank of Hill 145. They did so against heavy opposition, capturing the height where the Vimy Memorial now stands.

The Ralston brothers ran into each other as the 85th was mopping up that evening. In Layton’s words:

I was there in the dark when an officer leading a small party came up and said who are you. I replied with the number. He came close and saw who I was but I didn’t recognize him for ½ a minute. I thought the coincidence was remarkable for we two to be occupying that line side by side neither knowing the other was there.

As Ivan described the event in his own letter, he was helping to consolidate his 60th Battalion’s positions when he ran into a party of men and “asked who they were. To my surprise it was Layton who answered…I went up to him but strange to say for some minutes he didn’t recognize me.” It was not that remarkable for two brothers to run into each other in the Canadian Corps, even while serving in different units. But for these two men to find each other at that particular moment was certainly notable. As Ivan added, “He and I both had our work and I only had a second with him.”

In the following months, the two brothers found themselves serving side by side on several occasions. Layton’s 85th Battalion
became part of the 4th Division’s 12th Brigade soon after Vimy, while Ivan eventually found himself in the 87th Battalion of the 11th Brigade, after the 60th Battalion was broken up in May 1917 as part of the reorganization of Montreal units. They visited each other at their respective headquarters several times, and managed to schedule leave together in September 1917, during which they visited the Scottish highlands and their family’s ancestral home. They also shared Christmas dinner in a dugout at the front that December.

By mid-1917 Layton was establishing a reputation of his own for courage and devotion to duty. According to one staff officer with whom Ivan spoke, Layton would have been recommended for an award after Vimy had he not arrived so recently at the front. One soldier in the 85th later remembered Layton as being “courageous beyond words,” and deliberately risking his own safety in the face of shells and machine gun bullets during operations to help calm his men. At the end of June he was recommended for the Distinguished Service Order for just such leadership, along with the tactical skills that he showed while supervising an attack on the town of Élu dit Leauvette during the operations that followed Vimy. After taking over for the wounded second-in-command of the battalion, he was hit in the arm by a stray shell fragment (the first of four battlefield wounds he would suffer during the war), but refused to leave the lines because the 85th was short of officers. Like Ivan, Layton received his DSO personally from King George V.

 meanwhile, for Ivan things did not move along quite so well. As a transfer from another unit, he was left out of consideration for promotion when the commanding officer of the 87th Battalion died of infection from a wound in the spring of 1918. At the same time, Layton had begun to suggest that Ivan join him in the 85th Battalion. That June, Ivan officially became second-in-command to his brother, despite previously being senior in rank to Layton. Having two brothers become commanding officer and second-in-command of the same unit was not a common occurrence in the Canadian Corps or in most other forces during the First World War. Their parents worried about their safety while serving together in the same unit. Yet as Layton told them, the chances of a
least one of the two men surviving would actually be greater because the commander and his deputy were never permitted to participate in the same operation, to guard against the loss or incapacitation of both senior officers: “[Ivan] has worried that you dear people would feel we are too close together if anything happened, but as a matter of fact I rather hope it will prove the other way around... it might prove better than to have us in different battalions where the chances are we would both be in it.”

This rationale would have made the tragedy no less painful for the Ralston family when the 85th Battalion participated in the Battle of Amiens a few weeks later, beginning on 8 August 1918. On the second day of the battle, Layton received his second wound of the war when a sniper's bullet went through his right foot and into his left leg and severed his hamstring as he visited the front lines for the morning stand-to. He was evacuated, and Ivan took over as commander. The following day Ivan directed an attack on a machine gun position that was holding up the 85th's advance. Leading from the front in the same manner as his brother, Ivan was struck just above the heart by a bullet and killed instantly. It was a sign of the intensity of combat at Amiens that Ivan’s replacement as battalion commander lasted only ten minutes before being evacuated with a mortal wound from another machine gun bullet, and the captain commanding the company in the attack was killed shortly thereafter. One of the infantry sections that captured the position was reduced to three men before even reaching the gun.

In losing Ivan, the Ralstons shared the agony of countless other Canadian families. In some cases, the circumstances were even similar. Ivan’s former brigade commander, Victor Odlum, was second-in-command of the 7th Battalion when its commander was killed in the early stages of the Battle of Ypres in April 1915. He took over, only to watch as his brother, who was a sergeant in the same unit, was reportedly “obliterated by a shell.” And H.D.G. Crerar, who served under J.L. Ralston as chief of the general staff and later commanded First Canadian Army in Northwest Europe during the Second World War, lost the younger of his two brothers on active service in the Middle East in 1917. Malcolm Crerar was ten years younger than Harry, almost the same difference as between Ivan and Layton.

When Layton received the news of his brother’s death, he began his letter to his parents “The sun has gone out of life in an instant...” He tried to comfort them by noting that Ivan had died in the way he would...
have wanted: in battle, without suffering, and fighting for a cause in which he believed.63 Layton later described from his hospital bed how he had been told that the 85th Battalion honoured Ivan and another fellow officer by departing from procedure to bury them in hand-made individual caskets, and in special graves dug by a burial party led by a sergeant known to the Ralston family. Several officers and over a hundred men from Ivan’s former Montreal units attended the service.64 Layton worked with his parents over the next few months to design a small memorial plaque for the site, and as soon as he could after the fighting ended in late 1918 he went in search of Ivan’s grave to place the marker. There he also met the burgomaster of the local town of Caix, whose family had taken it upon themselves to watch over the graves of Canadians who were buried nearby, and Layton arranged to have them continue to care for Ivan’s grave in the future. The Duval and the Ralston families remained in touch right up until Layton’s own death in 1948.65 In 1929, Layton’s son Stuart travelled to Europe, and made a point of deviating from his group’s planned itinerary to visit the Duvals and Ivan’s burial site.66 A year later, Layton took his wife Nettie there, while they were in London for the Naval Disarmament Conference.67 When Layton’s first grandson was born in 1946, Stuart named him Ivan in honour of the boy’s great uncle.68

Yet for Layton, as for so many other soldiers from Canada and elsewhere, the war continued. On 1 October he was wounded for a third time, in the face by a piece of shrapnel during the final stages of the Battle of the Canal du Nord. Doctors ultimately decided not to remove the shrapnel, and Layton returned to his unit with adhesive plaster and a bandage covering the left side of his face. For this and his general conduct in guiding operations he earned a bar to his DSO.69 Ralston’s experience is a stark reminder of the intensity of combat during the Last Hundred Days offensive against a tenacious and skilful German defence. The Canadian Corps suffered its heaviest casualties of the war during this period.70 The 85th lost 105 men killed and 520 wounded during the month of September 1918 (which included two major actions, at the beginning and the end of the month), compared to 27 dead and 115 wounded during the Amiens push in August 1918, 149 dead and 290 wounded for the month of November 1917 at Passchendaele, and 53 dead and 116 wounded at Vimy in April 1917. Another 18 men were killed and 54 wounded in the first eleven days of November 1918.71

In late October, Layton received his fourth and final wound of the war. This time he was struck, as he described it, in his “right buttock,” when a piece of shrapnel went in from the right side towards the centre and didn’t quite come out [again]. The surgeon gave it the necessary assistance on the operating table 15 minutes after I landed here, so it is only a matter of lying down and sitting down carefully and delicately. I haven’t tried the sitting down as yet.72

The wound had to be cleaned daily, and operated on again after it was found to be infected. Layton recorded that he spent another 15 minutes in “refined agony having it cleaned out and packed,” and he did not rejoin his unit until after the conclusion of hostilities.73 In the end, Ralston was evacuated in the early stages of major operations two out of the three times that he was seriously wounded in 1918, which suggests one reason why he was fortunate enough to have survived the Last Hundred Days. In addition, it reminds us of the many soldiers who endured being wounded repeatedly and yet were returned to the lines to face further dangers throughout the war.74 His last stay in hospital was longer than the other three times he had been wounded and this likely encouraged Layton to record his thoughts about the other wounded men he saw around him. He did so in a pocket diary that he kept irregularly during the war, and that suggests how much he was moved by what he witnessed. He was acutely sensitive to the fact that many men were suffering more than him. One had lost both legs and one eye, and suffered a shrapnel wound in his arm, but as Ralston put it “seems
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cheery.” Another had been shot in the neck and was paralyzed from the shoulders down, while a third had had his “Shoulder and arm laid open to the bone front and back halfway down.” Layton was unimpressed when a visiting chaplain failed to provide more than the standard obligatory words to comfort such men.75

Earlier in the war, Layton had described more than once the difficulty of writing letters home to the families of soldiers who had been wounded or had died under his command. In one case he wrote, it seems after all so little compared to what they have suffered and I am under the constant fear that people will feel that letters of this kind are more or less formalities. They aren’t with us because we feel that these boys are bound up with the regiment and it is like a break in the family when we lose one.76

Ralston was remembered years later for taking the time as commander of the 85th to write personal letters to the families of every member who was killed in action.77 This sense of duty – and responsibility for the welfare of those under his authority – perhaps helps to explain the chronic overwork and attention to detail for which he was criticized later in his career. During the inter-war years he was unrelenting in his defence of veterans’ rights. As head of the Royal Commission on Pensions and Re-establishment in 1922-1924, he is remembered for having crossed the country to allow veterans to state their case, and preparing a detailed, well-reasoned report that recommended a number of changes.78 Comments about his readiness to do anything he could to aid fellow veterans run throughout his personal correspondence, and seven out of the 179 boxes that make up his papers at Library and Archives Canada are devoted to more than 300 cases where he supported individual men or their families in appeals before the country’s pension authorities in the 1930s.79 Such feelings also clearly shaped Ralston in his determination to live up to what he saw as a new set of commitments that were made to the next generation of soldiers who went off to fight again in 1939.80

One last issue that arises from Ralston’s experience of the First World War concerns his views about conscription for overseas service. Certainly, he supported the policies of Prime Minister Robert Borden’s Conservative government when it decided to impose compulsory service in 1917, at the cost of a bitter
wartime federal election and opening up huge divisions within Canadian society. Ralston did so publicly as well as in private. In a telegram that was featured prominently in the Halifax Herald a few days before the election of December 1917, he stated that “I strongly favor the immediate operation of the Military Service Act, and hope Nova Scotia will heavily support candidates pledged to that policy.” Yet Ralston seems not to have blindly supported conscription. The wording of his public declaration suggests that, much as in his decision to enlist in 1915 and the other ways he tried to live his life in service to his community, he may have been influenced by concern about how other people would see his actions. He framed his more private views on conscription in terms similar to those of many soldiers at the time. As he explained to his parents in June 1917, the infantrymen overseas merely wanted someone else to take on some of the burdens of combat, and conscription should be imposed to help show those men who remained at home that they were needed in France. He did add, however, that “I cannot believe that the demonstrations in Quebec represent the sentiments of the people at large but if they do so much the worse for Quebec.” Furthermore, he openly disagreed with his father when in July the latter echoed the federal Liberals’ policy under Wilfrid Laurier that one last effort should be made to pursue voluntary recruiting before bringing in conscription. Layton acknowledged that recruiting had not been handled as well as it could have by the military and political authorities, but argued that because conscription was now necessary it should be proceeded with. When his father continued the debate in later correspondence, Ralston finally stated in a another letter in September that “I guess we aren’t far apart after all only that what father suggests is what should have been done long ago,…whatever will win the war the quickest is the immediate want.” Thus, in the same way as for many English-Canadian Liberals who eventually broke with Laurier over the issue in the fall of 1917, Ralston came to see conscription as necessary more in the context of the events of the time than out of a deep sense of personal conviction.

Ralston seems to have learned much from his experiences in the years afterward. He became much more familiar with Quebec, moving to Montreal in 1930 to join a legal practice in the city, and spent the next nine years becoming a prominent corporate lawyer and getting to know most of the province’s major political and business leaders, both English and French. For a while he even took French lessons. During the Second World War he seemed to be more sensitive to the views of Quebeckers. He supported a major effort to improve the position of francophones within the Canadian military beginning in April 1941 as a way to break down some of the feelings against voluntary enlistment. He pressed military leaders for progress on the file throughout 1941 and into 1942. Despite the assumptions that are sometimes made about Ralston’s personal feelings about conscription, for most of the Second World War he remained willing to limit compulsory service to home defence for as long as the need was met for men overseas. Only in late 1944 when it seemed that all other options had been exhausted did he become convinced that there was
no longer any choice but to compel conscripts to serve overseas. Yet again, he was apparently influenced more by what he felt were the desires of the majority of the public, and the principle of living up to the commitments that he felt that he and other Cabinet ministers had made to Canadian soldiers earlier in the war, than by personal loyalty to the idea or calculations of the political implications of such a policy.88

After the fighting came to an end in November 1918, the 85th Battalion found itself billeted in Belgium. Ralston made a point of commemorating the second anniversary of the unit’s arrival in France by holding a memorial parade in February 1919, where the names of all 624 men of the unit who had been killed in action or had died of their battle wounds were read.89 His qualities as a senior commander were recognized when he was assigned to serve briefly as acting brigade commander.90 Political representatives from Nova Scotia had actually been pressing since that summer to have him promoted to brigadier, as one of the most obviously talented candidates to help increase the presence of qualified senior officers from the Maritimes in the Canadian Corps.91

Before leaving Europe Ralston joined Lieutenant-General Arthur Currie as the latter’s guest on a visit to the Paris Peace Conference, where he was able to gain entrance to the Plenary Session. While on a stopover at Lens, he walked the battleground with Currie, and in Paris he met Field Marshal Sir Douglas Haig. But he expressed embarrassment when his parents were read.89 His qualities as a senior commander were recognized when his family Ralston agreed.95 He was unable to resist similar calls that kept him at the centre of federal politics for much of the next 20 years, until his sense of duty to Canadians and to the soldiers under his authority finally led to his dismissal as minister of National Defence at the height of the debate over conscription in November 1944.
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a Canadian who married a British
Army doctor, and accompanied
him on his posting to Singapore
in 1940. She joined the Australian
Red Cross, and continued as a Red
Cross representative when she was
interned at Changi Jail, in appalling
conditions, following the Japanese
conquest of the island in 1942. To
escape from the wretched conditions,
the prisoners fantasized aloud about
their favourite meals, and Mulvaney
collected the recipes which she
published after the war to raise funds
for the benefit of former prisoners
who were still hospitalized as a result
of their experiences.

In the CWM section Laura
Brandon has produced another in
a now sadly long list of obituaries
marking the passing of Canadian war
artists, in this case Bruno Bobak. It is
an inspiring story. An enlisted man
with no formal artistic training but
abundant talent, he won recognition
in a Canadian Army art competition.
He was able to take officer training
and, on obtaining his commission,
became an official war artist, with
an assignment to the 4th Canadian
Armoured Division during its
operations in Northwest Europe in
1944-1945.

Roger Sarty
February 2013