



collage by cocks

photos by yau and gingerich

VOL. XI No. 2

The CORD WEEKLY

FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 25, 1970

Frosh throw eggs and bleach

Parade becomes riot

Charles Yolleck

It is very likely that WLU has had its last torchlight Parade.

The events of last Wednesday were predictable, although their magnitude was not. Several incidents prior to the parade foreshadowed those that would follow.

Most notable was an attack on one "Mother" in the quadrangle. This sophomore stopped two froshettes and asked them to sing the school song. When he found their spirit was not in it, he sent them to gather more frosh. "A community singalong is great for spirit." Soon, approximately twenty-five frosh, vocalists were gathered. But, instead of docilely obeying "Granny", they attacked him. He and his pants were subsequently separated by thirty yards, and one sock was tied to a tree. Fortunately, a friend was able to rescue his pants and thus spare him further embarrassment.

Water bombs and eggs got the parade off to a stormy start in front of the Theatre-Auditorium. However, there were only sporadic attacks until the procession reached downtown Waterloo. Those in the parade became a mob. Groups broke out of line and chased egg-throwing sophs. One "mother" noted that "for every one we threw, we got ten back." Fights broke out, but fortunately were stopped by our own students rather than the police. The police cars escorting the parade, as well as

those of the townsfolk, were pelted with eggs. Buildings and houses were also spattered. Waterloo residents were caught in a crossfire and one six year old was hit in the head.

The activities on King street were only the beginning. Being near Waterloo square, the marchers purchased new supplies from the local grocery stores and continued the fight up Albert street. When they finally returned to the campus, instead of totally disbanding, gangs went searching for more targets. A "mother" captured outside West Hall was hit with a half-dozen eggs and coated with syrup. This sort of activity continued far into the night.

Faced with a near riot, the local police were unable to control the situation. Waterloo Police Chief, H. Basse, voiced concern for the safety of the marchers. "Students were running all over the street. All it takes is one motorist who does not slow down, and he could plow down a whole bunch of kids." He also warned the participants that because of their actions they could have been charged for either willful damage or causing a disturbance. (Both of which are criminal offences.)

When asked about granting the school a permit for a parade next year, Basse would only say that serious consideration would be given before another parade would

be allowed. Another member of the force said that "You will not get a permit next year, and you can be sure of that." However, obtaining a permit for next year must be considered secondary to mending our relations with the community.

The numerous citizen complaints received by the police are likely to be a sore spot for the rest of the year. Since there is a university population of over twelve thousand, the citizens are prepared for a degree of hi-jinks, but Wednesday's incident was the worst in the history of WLU. Eggs and water bombs may have been acceptable, but the use of bleach, mustard, and almost any other liquid is downright stupid. It was fortunate that no one was seriously injured. Now we cannot expect polite acceptance of other school activities.

Organizers Ross Curry, and Ross Seegmiller, as well as Dean Nichols expressed sorrow at the actions of those students who were involved. An apology will be extended to the people of Waterloo and the police department.

In an incident of this sort it is very hard to attach blame to individuals and as a result all involved must shoulder the blame. Despite all our pleas for "peace" and "love" in the world, you must admit that you did enjoy hurting others last Wednesday night.

Frosh Turn out poor

Shinerama Fails

Apathetic frosh were the cause of Shinerama's failing to hit the \$6000 mark last Thursday. Compared to the participation in 1969, this year's turnout was pathetic.

The 400 frosh who did come out, however, managed to raise approximately \$3200 in their all day effort, when this is compared to the \$4500 last year's Shinerama made, or to the record-breaking \$6259 in 1965, it is not difficult to see why Karin Kaplin, coordinator of Shinerama, is bitter about the event. Despite some poor weather, the reason most people did not come out was lack of interest. Ross Curry's efforts to get classes canceled only provided many students with an extra long weekend. The free day was arranged in order to increase participation and thus give Shinerama a better chance to make the required \$6000 to finish off the payment of the mortgage on the home owned by the Big Brothers

organization. Its complete failure is a fairly good guarantee that it will not be arranged again next year.

Shinerama organizers could not be criticized. Keith Shantz, who provided transportation, Dave Glanfield, in charge of food, Daryll Upfold, in charge of publicity, Peter Matteson, who handled money, Wendy Plummer, who distributed the cans, Bob Clark, in charge of equipment, and Ray Knoll and Mary Ann Remple, who mapped out locations and times: all did their jobs and more.

Other than these people, Karin would like to thank Orr's for the use of one of their cars, the Elaine Cole show and the K-W Record for providing publicity, Garry Voight's gas station, 70 Westmount road, Bob Howacks gas station, at the corner of King and Lodge, and Berny Riedel's gas station, 100 King St. N., for their free gas.



photo by yau

Torch light parade before it turned into a riot.

Ludwig Von Ichabod

Ludwig carps at Frosh showing

Well, well, what can I bitch about today? Plenty! In my opinion, INITIATION Week was a flop. You can't even call it a week, as the Frosh did not need to wear their Beanies and Buttons until Wednesday—which meant that Initiation lasted merely three days. Even for those three days, the gutless Frosh reluctantly copped on their beanies, which quickly

disappeared by Friday.

More vinegar to spoil the broth are 1) the lack of Mothers buttons for the Sophs 2) the seniors who are not suppose to have a Mother button, were making excess use of them. One Soph complained about this aspect in a pissed-off manner.

The Torch-Lite Parade became a donnybrook. Eggs and water-

bombs I don't mind; however, when the stupid arsholes start using mustard (it's hard on clothes) and javex, and other ignorant concoctions, then I get upset. Moreover, restraint should have been used when the egg-fights struck innocent bystanders, and on-coming cars. I cannot blame the local cops if next year no permit will be issued. The

blame should come to seniors who tell the dumb Frosh hyperbolized versions of last years activities.

I was quite disappointed with both dances. The Natural Gas played "their own thing" without regards to the people who must dance to it. Moreover, their breaks between sets were outrageously too long. In previous years, the DeCapping Dance was the climax of the Week. This year, no decorations, only half attended by Frosh, and music more like sonic booms rather than music. My ears still hurt.

Nottoo many people helped out for Shinerama. A poor turnout, mes amis, only shows the apathy and spiritlessness of WLU students. To those who did help, for what its worth, my congratulations and thanks.

Only two weekends have passed, and already WLU's status as a SUITCASECAMPUS is apparent. Everybody, his uncle and dog goes home. 'Tis the season for homesickness I suppose. Or for overtly lazy students to take dirty laundry so mommy can wash them.

Let me say that the only refreshing activity that I have high praise for is Wednesday morning's Freshette "jock-strap" raid on the men's res. Too bad the girls

didn't get in. Ladies, girls, chicks, wantons, twiggies, etc (please underline one preferred!) you must do it again. And soon!

Campus residents, for the past 14 days you have been using your ID card as a License to Eat (i.e. Meal ticket). Everyone presumes that the numbers are checked and counterchecked daily, so no one (my, aren't we all so honest) dared to run through the line for more of the delicious food. What if no one checks the daily receipt of numbers...

A real rip-off is the compulsory purchase of a mail box. You know that this deprives some needy student in res five bucks a week delivering mail to his fellow students. Moreover, with such small boxes on the Concourse, I fear it might crap the centre-fold in Playboy Mag.

Unabashed, and with much conceit, your infamous columnist invites you people in Apathetic Readersland to write in to the Cord expressing your indignation on what I write, or if I have offended you. I want to know so I can do it again, natch! I mean, this is your weekly rag, as you have paid in advance, for its publication. So you deserve the best—and the best is of course, me! Just applauds, please: no claps. Ciao.

THIS IS A MILES FOR MILLION'S CHALLENGE

Be it known THE REAL ADMINISTRATORS do hereby officially challenge the

- (1) The Academic Administrators
- (2) The Students
- (3) The Faculty
- (4) Anyone Else

and that we do particularly challenge the ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATORS to measure up our stirring performance in the "Miles for Millions Walk" to be held Saturday October 3rd.

The losing team will provide, for the enjoyment of both teams, such emoluments or other delights as may be mutually agreed upon when all pledges are totalled.

The undersigned vintage gentleman do contend that they can raise more money per capita for this worthy cause than any other similar sized team fielded by any of the above mentioned adversaries.

Nothing in the foregoing however shall be construed as preventing the undersigned vintage gentleman from claiming foul or excuse by reason of the following various and several maladies or complaints known to be present among them.


Dropsy,
Deafness.

High Blood Pressure,
Low Blood Pressure.

Neuritis,
Golfitis.

Gout,
Flatulence

Clarke Mecredy
Fred Nichols
Colin McKay
Cliff Bilyea



POSTERS, LEATHERGOODS, JEWELLRY & JUNK
TRIBAL WAREHOUSE
41 KING STREET N. WATERLOO



of WATERLOO

744-4446-7

374 Weber St. N.

At Columbia St. E.

SERVING UNIVERSITIES

FOR 7 YEARS

WEEKLY SPECIALS

UNIVERSITY APPRECIATION DAYS

MONDAY AND TUESDAY

12 NOON 'TIL 9 P.M.

ALL 9" PIZZAS 90¢

Eat-in And Pick-Up Orders
Only

"Come In And See Us"

EGO TIME

and now children 'what did you do
this summer in twenty-five words
or less'

Walt Haufler

photos by gingerich

"What are your impressions of
W.L.U. as a hole?"



Leanne Pugsley
General Arts I

Really Friendly.
Wow!



Bryce Weber
Poli Sci I

A warm kind of place



Carol Angove
Gen Arts I

The proctors were helpful,
South Hall's the greatest.



Carol Campbell
Gen Arts I

Great for intimate re-
lationships



Trish Diver
Gen Arts I

Fantastic!



Al Wahl
Bus I

It's easy to get to know
people

O

Lamentable
Day

Dave Forsee

"Have you ever seen what happens when a mini-skirted young female of highly suitable qualities walks down a city street?" Anxious to hear an answer to this somewhat unorthodox question, I pressed the middle-aged gentleman to continue. "It's utterly fascinating!" he exclaimed gleefully. "I have never seen so many dour, old faces lift their eyes from the pavement and break into the most incredible smiles." I had to agree with him. There in this simple, straightforward observation lay the fascination, the magic, if you will, of the great mini-skirt escapade. But hold! Before denouncing me as a weird 'pervert' pre-occupied with the sexual aspects of the species, allow me to explain as much as is possible in this case, just what I mean by the magic qualities inherent in the humble mini.

There has been, certainly for as long as I can remember, a sociological phenomena present in the lives of men and women everywhere called the mutual admiration society phenomena. (Look that up in your Horton and Hunt all you Soc. bugs.) It works in the following manner. Things being what they are (in spite of Women's Lib.) there is, ever present, the tendency for men and women to appraise each other usually, admittedly, in terms of physical qualities. One of the more apparent results of this among other things, has been such eloquent terminology as, "Wow! Look at the ass on that, will ya?" or "Unbelievable... Just incredible, aren't they?" and other such pleasantries. On a more subtle note there has been another, certainly more interesting, result.

As men and women pass each other on a city street a silent communication takes place between them individually, a communication that is conducted with astounding intensity. Men and Women who are otherwise complete strangers, look at each other eye to eye and the eyes do all the talking exchanging some very basic thoughts and reactions about each other. Certainly such cities as Montreal and San Francisco are apparently more conducive than others in this matter but, nevertheless, it seems to be a universal phenomena. So what has all this to do with mini-skirt magic? The answer is amazingly simple. The mini-skirt with all its simplicity and basic honesty came out on behalf of women everywhere to acknowledge the very existence of the 'mutual admiration society' by demonstrating once and for all that inescapable law of nature; the female of the species is the more beautiful. Males everywhere young and old gratefully accepted this truth and gave thanks with their smiles. For all of us it was a new breath of life in the age-old drama. But as in all good things, the end is drawing near.

The mini for all its charm and exquisite character is gradually being eased out in favour of... ready? ... the ... oh ... it pains me to endow it with a title... the MIDI! Such current slogans as: "Robert Stanfield supports the midi," as well as Dean Branden's personal endorsement of the midi have done little to deter its influx.

O lamentable day! I join in the mourning that abounds with the passing of the mini. A surge upon you, you cursed midi!

G & T
BARBER SHOP
Mens Hairstyling

WATERLOO SQUARE
745-9551

WE
ACCEPT
APPOINTMENTS

SAVE 50¢
on
HAIRSTYLING
with
COUPON

GUERRILLA
WARFARE -
STRATEGY OR TACTIC?

Speaker:
JOE YOUNG

League for socialist action

TONIGHT 8:00 PM
ROOM 1E1

Young Socialists

Competing makes walking easy especially when
The competition is faculty and administration.

GET THE PICTURE?
MILES FOR MILLIONS

Saturday, Oct. 3, 1970

John Buote
Anne Welwood
Lyle Cook

Jim Lawson
Bob Purdon
Steve Currie

The CORD WEEKLY

The Cord Weekly is published once a week by the Board of Publication of Waterloo Lutheran University. Editorial opinions are independent of the University Student's Administrative Council and the Board of Publications. The Cord is a member of the Canadian University Press service.
Office: Student Union Building
Editor-In-Chief: Tonu Aun
Managing Editor: Rex Bradley
News Editor: Steve Young
Sports Editor: Andres Loosberg
Publications Chairman: Bill Scott
Phone: 745-6119

Photo Editor: Jim Gingerich
Business Editor: Al Wilson
Ad Manager: Mike Parker

EDITORIAL NOTES



The torch-lite parade was the only initiation activity the Frosh were successful at. Eggs and tomatoes were concealed in the oddest places, as illustrated in the accompanying photo. Good clean fun that went overboard to the extent that we allowed our reporters the subjective release of indignation in their news copy.

Good news for all you Torque room critics, there will be no Cord published next week. Hopefully, we will be using the time to move into our new offices. (Goody). We also have a new ad manager starting, and he felt it might be advantageous to get organized.

"No tickie, no washee," is the rule in publishing as in most other things. This week we were unable financially, to publish more than eight pages. With the bread, man, would we ever have socked it to you. Pray for our continued poverty!




photo by glandfield

Blvea baby, ain't participatory decision making great!! We are sure your over ride of the April decision to make the lot north of the TA entirely student, is in no manner influenced by Tuffies' Tuffs. Oh yes, why no parking permits to pre-registered students? (Frosh power?)

FORUM

Ludwig??

Please tell me it is not true that Ludwig of "Off the Cuff" is writing a column again. Last year he wrote nothing but tasteless unpleasantries and verbal diarrhoea. He has a terrible command of his media—I know because I had to read all his columns in the hope that he would eventually improve on content, and everything else that is wrong with him. Can't you find someone else to take over his column. Or something? Maybe someone to take

over the whole Cord staff, editor included, and have our student newspaper revamped. I mean, I paid my shekels too to have this rag printed. I demand action!

A. Nonny Mouse
Kindergarten Special

WLU not sensitive

As members of "The Touch Club", we feel that there are not enough sensitive people on the Lutheran Campus. This was clearly demonstrated to us by the

lack of student support on Club Night this Tuesday past.

A great number of students were misled by the poster we used to attract attention to our club. Some students had the audacity to infer that we were advocating a gay club, a orgy club or some other animalistic club. This is not true.

To the students that did support us we thank you but regret to inform you that the Couch Club will not become a reality this year.

"Smilin"

Peter's ambiguity on drugs:

Co-operation?

The section of President Peters' speech (printed in the Cord, September 18) dealing with drug use is receiving much establishment coverage. A fourteen line statement from a speech ten times longer must be a major policy change to warrant three separate articles in the K-W Record (ed note: especially in the issue of September 19).

Excessive emphasis on drug policy creates the bias for the uninformed that this was the major topic of his speech. We hope Dr. Peters agrees with our saying this was not his intent. However, since the speech is being lauded precisely for this one point, we feel our comments are in order.

The policy statement is nothing new; the position, while never before having been verbalized, has been practiced for several years. We do not disagree with Dr. Peters' sentiments, drugs are a serious problem; we cannot agree with his solution. In addition to our not agreeing to the wisdom of his decision to crack-down on pushers, we also are unclear as to how he plans to do it.

Dr. Peters failed to classify drugs into the two usual categories of hard and soft drugs. By implication, selling marijuana is as serious as pushing smack. The LeDain Commission report, along with most other findings, distinguish between the effects of drugs; the university administration, if they ignore these findings, are at best considered unenlightened, and more seriously, they are diametrically opposed to the views of an increasing number of students. This type of alienation is not necessary and could easily lead to more serious conflicts, the consequences of which could lead to a total breakdown in communications.

Our greatest concern is with what Dr. Peters meant by, "We will co-operate with the authorities..." Co-operation can be both active or passive. Will the university itself search for traffickers or will they content themselves by allowing narcotics agents on the campus to do their own searching? Will the university turn over any suspects to the RCMP? Will access to individual's files be included in WLU's co-operation? What about narcotics raids on residences? Or, insidiously, would the university allow herself to become party to legal entrapment of suspects, all in the name of co-operation? We strongly feel that the administration must define the degree of their co-operation — to not do so would be both unfair and suspect to ulterior motives.

Further, Peters' talk of co-operating with the authorities seems to us contradictory to his statement from the September 5 speech when he said "...a university dare not align itself as an institution with a political movement. When this occurs, it becomes an instrument of oppression." Society's attitude towards drugs as exemplified in our laws is a political movement. True, present society is the party in power and therefore responsible for establishing the laws — but laws are political. Laws are set to impose a particular moral stance on others who would like to follow a different standard. Our interpretation of an ideal university, and the opinion Dr. Peters seemed to be stating, is that a university is a forum for ideas, ideas that stand on their own merit. We find it extremely hard to reconcile both active co-operation and non-alignment.

Obviously, non co-operation also is an alignment under present university conditions. The university should perhaps consider their primary responsibility — either teach, or police the extra-curricular activities of the students. By attempting to do both the university is failing to do either properly.

Admittedly, the decision to co-operate was the correct political move for an administrator — but was it equally the correct decision for an educator.

Let us suppose that the co-operation is highly successful and all pushers are removed from the campus. The university can sanctimoniously say that she did her duty in helping society and that there are no more pushers within her hallowed halls.

Fine!!!

But wasn't the problem drug usage???

We are positive that with this solution there will still be drugs on campus; at a higher price perhaps, but they will still be here — however, public relations will no doubt be better outside the university. Is this the university's desire — to attack a symptom, looking good while so doing?

We do not doubt President Peters' good intentions, however we cannot help wondering how much these intentions, are effected by political considerations.

Hopefully, most people reading this have realized the unfair advantage we have taken — the speech was dealing in generalities, while we are asking specifics. We do feel that these specifics should now be answered — policy is dependent on the method of its implementation.



photo by cocks

Waterloo Lutheran University
Notice of Parking Violation

No 1651

Licence, **VARIABLE** Permit, **VALID**

Make of Automobile **ANY OF THREE**

Location **STUDENT LOT**

Name **COACHES**

Address **ATHLETIC DEPT.**

Please present this citation to the Cashier in the Business Office, 2nd Floor Arts Bldg. within five days. Any questions regarding a parking violation should be directed to the Dean of Students within five days.

All Parking Violations are \$3.00.

You have:

☒ Parked in a posted "reserved" area.

☒ Parked in a loading zone.

☒ Parked in a "no parking" area.

☐ an outdated permit (or no permit)

☐ Parked on the roadway between 1 a.m. and 7 a.m.

☐ Other

Date **SINCE SEPT. 14/70**

Time ☒ A.M. ☒ P.M.

Visitors

If you are a VISITOR to our Campus, please take or mail this notice to the Reception Desk in the main foyer of the Arts Building for cancellation.

Officer's Signature

INFRINGED STUDENTS

The myth that is destroying journalism:

Objectivity

The North American press is under attack from the left, the right and the harassed middle. It needs reform and knows it, but nothing less than profound structural changes will make a qualitative difference sufficient to insure the survival of newspapers as credible agents of information about the society in which they operate.

Structural changes are imperative because the reforms now most often proposed do nothing except increase the existing fantastic level of journalistic self-consciousness. Newspaper managements and editors already get together frequently to discuss the shortcomings of their daily efforts; newspaper men already deliver scathing critiques of their profession.

For example, the monthly Journalism Review was initiated recently by Chicago journalists who had been unable to print the stories or make the reforms they felt were necessary to the well being of their dailies. On the national level, a new Washington journal, Straus Editor's Report has been formed to monitor the press.

The press today is one of the least trusted of the country's national institutions.

However, all attempts thus far to accommodate newspapers to the needs of society have failed and all the evaluations have to be turned out to be indexes of failure rather than progress toward some satisfactory result. Criticism that does not lead to structural change is simply an exercise in reformist frustration, and the effect has been that the press today is one of the least trusted of the country's national institutions, public or private.

Right wing critics complain that the papers undermine confidence in democratic institutions by striking at the government. The left insists that, by adhering to so-called balanced reporting they in fact stabilize the worst features of an inequitable system. The confused middle is rapidly losing its faith in the ability of the daily press to sustain the image of impartiality that newspaper managements — not readers — have demanded.

It thus becomes obvious that the press will not begin to cope with its credibility problem until newspaper managements acknowledge that mystifying standard, "objectivity" cannot be adequately defined or achieved that in fact it is pernicious to the society as well as to the institutions of journalism. This neutrality is demanded by newspaper administrators and editors, concerned that the news content remain under tight control; there is no evidence that it serves a public interest.

At a time when people are becoming politically more aware, a newspaper loses credibility when readers believe themselves to be manipulated and propagandized on behalf of those who dominated the political economy. It makes no difference how they identify those powers, or whether their evaluations is right or stems from the widening circle of paranoia that is endemic to a highly centralized society.

A commitment to the notion of objectivity has in effect become a sign of manipulation, whether newspaper managements like it or not, and the way to deal with it is to admit that the editorial function is inherently biased, that report-

ers have opinions of their own and that newspapers, like other large institutions, are political entities.

There is nothing new about these concepts. Newspapers no less than universities, must be seen as instruments of either social change or stagnation. The European press has known this for a long time. *Le Monde*, widely regarded as one of the world's greatest newspapers, is described by the Paris correspondent of the New York Times:

"Unlike the American practice, there is no copy desk and no division of function between copy editing and reporting. Each staff member is a 'journalist' in charge of a specialty. He may cover a story directly or rewrite or edit the news agency reports on his subject. Department chiefs check headlines and make space allotments but do not change copy."

As a rule, in European journalism, there is no clear line between reporting and opinion.

"We are proud," said an editor, "not of our objectivity but of our independence." The assumption is that the reader knows the viewpoint of the reporter and expects it to be reflected in his copy.

Le Monde makes itself credible by rejecting the myth of objectivity. It exposes all its biases to the reader, who automatically learns the security of reading "news" that is placed in a readily identifiable context. *Le Monde* journalists — the best in the world — have established their reputations over time on a newspaper that has given them their heads.

Readers take issue with *Le Monde* Journalists, not with *Le Monde*, and do not feel that they are being propagandized by an objective automation. The context of the news becomes as important as the news itself — indeed the one can never really be divorced from the other — journalist and reader engage in a relationship similar to that of actor and audience.

The refusal of newspapers to consider *Le Monde*'s methods is a kind of mistrust of the public that claims management knows best what readers need or want. Hollywood and TV magnates hold similar views. In the press, however, the fact is that many reporters try to make editorial points between the lines of their objectivity, thus inserting surreptitiously what they should be writing candidly.

Says one ex-Newsweek man: "If you wanted to express an opinion, there was no problem. Insofar as I recall the techniques, you invented a quote and ascribed it to somebody ... made up a person if necessary. It's very devious, of course, but it's a substitute merely for doing the sensible thing which would have been to write a first-person story in the first place. Newspaper men are always finding ways to get around whatever inhibitions there are to personal journalism."

But the trouble with using a subterfuge — however much it may clarify the point of a story — is that it still leaves the reader wondering how objective the news story is, how responsible the reporter is, what his biases may be.

The notion still prevails among reporters that they should strive to be as objective as possible. It has a nice, clear-cut ring to it, but nobody has been able to tell them how to approach that elusive

goal, much less what is really means. Most reporters and newspapers fall back on the idea that the proper solution is a kind of "balance," a presentation pro and con that lends itself to mathematical analysis: that is, always try to get the other side of the story, even just for a couple of lines.

It is a puzzle why reporters continue to insist that objectivity, or balance, is the key to the good journalistic life, but one explanation may be that it permits a kind of psychological anonymity. A reporter need not reveal what sort of person he is, uncover his biases. More important, by clinging to the myth that he is indeed being as objective as humanly possible, he can evade personal responsibility for his work; he is only a technician of the news. Advocacy, on the other hand, openly admitted, requires an exposure of self, a willingness to undergo scrutiny, and a commitment to excellence that seems very demanding.

Some reporters are thus afraid of advocacy. Those who don't feel strongly about things see no reason to take sides. Others correctly perceive that they lack the competence to be advocacy reporters, that they really do not know their "beat." A reporter cannot express his convictions about, say, education unless he has made himself an expert on the problems of schools and the theories of learning.

It follows that the opportunity to become an advocate would cause responsive reporters to acquire the background necessary to acquit themselves creditably. The informed reporter would make himself known as competent to act in his new professional capacity, thus raising the general level of the profession. Those who saw the advocacy role as an opportunity to dispense propaganda would be exposed as soon as the public judged their work against the progress of events.

Many newspapers would maintain that they already permit reporters to become advocates — usually on or opposite the editorial page, but occasionally in the news sections. However, management inevitably insist that these contributions be identified as "columns" or "news analysis." In the absence of a systematic attempt to orient either the public or reporters to the uses of advocacy journalism, these few columns have done nothing to increase the credibility rating of the profession.

The market for objective "facts" has been saturated by TV, as newspaper managements well know. Newspapers must provide something more than a statistical expansion of the eleven o'clock news, but no amount of reform discussion will produce a new product; the conditions must change. This requires structural innovation, a radical transformation of the daily newspaper into a social participant, not a mere observer.

Neutrality is conceivably only a political vacuum and nothing is more political than a newspaper. The public knows this and withholds its belief from journals that venerate objectivity.

The key element in journalism, as in all writing and all art, is risk, sometimes personal risk. Newspapers will never be "ready" for personal journalism, for the major changes, for a role in the events around them, until reporters and editors are willing to stick their necks out.

SPORTS



'FIRST' in Personal Service
.... To All You Wear

GRADUATION PORTRAITS

PHONE FOR OUR SPECIAL PRICE LISTS

745-8637

FORDE STUDIOS

154 KING ST. W. KITCHENER

(GOWNS, HOODS & MAKEUP SUPPLIED)




photo by gingerich

Passmore impresses the empty stands as he fights off a Windsor tackler.

Replay

a continuing column
by david mckinley

Blacker could be back

Assistant Football Coach Don Smith stated that Gerry Blacker could recover from his knee injury in time to play in the College Bowl. Blacker, reputed by many to be the Hawks best running-back, suffered pulled ligaments and tendons in Saturday's game against Windsor Lancers. Blacker's knee has not been operated on yet. The doctors are waiting for a cut on the knee, which was suffered in practice, to heal first. "It depends on how fast he heals," said Coach Smith. "I've seen boys operated on in the morning walk out in the afternoon."

In reply to criticism that Blacker shouldn't have been allowed back in the game after his knee was initially injured, Coach Smith stated that they had no way of knowing the seriousness of the injury. "In cases like this," he said, "you have to go on what the

players tells you." Films show that Blacker was still able to run well after the initial injury. Coach Smith stated that the injury was not considered a serious one, meaning that Blacker's football playing ability would not be permanently hampered. One football player questioned about the injury stated, "You have to be tough to play for Tuffy."

Hawk coaches were disappointed in the 97 yards in penalties their players collected on Saturday. "We don't like penalties", was the comment on this subject. No replacement has been decided upon for Gerry Blacker, Hawk halfback. There are five possible candidates for the job. Many people are betting that Ted Passmore will get the job.

Coach Smith rates Ottawa a

better team than the Windsor team of last Saturday. He says Ottawa is a tough club with good outside running and an excellent passing attack.

Clark Eady, linebacker from North Bay, may be eligible to play in Saturday's game against Ottawa. The question of Eady's eligibility had arisen because he had gone to Laurentian University for half of last year.

Some seniors - at Saturday's game complained at the lack of freshman attending. Is this true, FROSH?

It's considered a toss-up whether more Windsor players had to be helped off the field, than Windsor fans had to be helped down from the stands. Poor Windsor. Their players can't hold the line and their fans can't hold their booze.

When you need a Bank

It's nice to know you're near one.
The Commerce.
Come in.
And find out how more of us can do more for you.


There's a Commerce branch

at

27 KING ST. NORTH AT ERB



CANADIAN IMPERIAL
BANK OF COMMERCE



Hawks Win 36—7

Lutheran Hammers Windsor

John Howe

After their lack-lustre appearance against Queen's, the Hawks went into last Saturday's game against the defending champion Windsor Lancers with a little more knowledge and obviously a lot more desire.

When the money was on the table, the team looked quite different, still making mistakes, but covering them up much better than before. The real difference was their ability to start fast and leave Windsor guessing.

They ran a finely balanced offense and were not the least bit reluctant to throw the ball. In fact, the Hawks found themselves deep in Lancer territory on a long bomb from Arnott to Harvey who held for the completion inside the Windsor 10 yardline. Rookie back Gerry Blacker started the 36-7 romp, with his first touchdown of the season on a four yard run at the three minute mark.

John Cater's kickoff resulted in the first Windsor fumble on their own 30 yardline. The Hawks had their first break but failed to capitalize when Windsor recovered the ball on a Hawk fumble.

Windsor began to march down the field but the Hawk defense held them on their own 45 yardline. Ted Passmore's punt return had everyone looking when he had only one man to beat for the major, but a missed block cast him his first T. D.

The offense now began to roll again using short pass and run plays to the backfield. Quarterback Roy Arnott finished off the drive with his first touchdown pass of the season; a 16 yarder to Terry Harvey. Wally Parker's convert attempt was wide and the

Hawks had established a 13-0 lead at the end of the first quarter.

The big surprise of the game was the heads up play of the defense. They took every opportunity afforded them by the Lancers, either rusting the Windsor passing attack or picking off the Windsor passes before they could do any damage.

Windsor's q.b. Andy Parichi found himself hurried on many occasions either by Hawk linemen or blitzing linebackers. It seemed as though Windsor was going backwards into their own zone on several occasions.

Early in the second quarter Doug Chalk nailed Parichi behind the line setting up a punting situation, and then returned the punt to his own 33.

Once again Arnott balanced his offense, using an intelligent mixture of running and passing plays. His confidence was obvious as he threw twice on first down situations, both successfully; first a 12 yarder to Hamilton, then a completed 40 yard bomb to Harvey on the Windsor 13. Next Arnott went to the ground for the score, first on an 8 yard run by

Alcock, finishing off with Walker's first T. D. of the day. The convert attempt was another gamble that paid off as Harvey hit Walker in the end zone for the 2 point conversion.

Cater's kickoff gave the ball to Windsor on their own 33 but after gaining two first downs, Turnbull ended their drive with his first interception of the year. The offense managed to penetrate to the Lancer 30 but failed to finish off, settling for a punt single by Passmore.

Once again Windsor failed to string together any sort of drive but the resulting punt left the Hawks deep in their own end on the 6 yard line. Two plays and twenty yards later Walker fumbled and Windsor found themselves inside the Hawk 30 for the first time. This time Parichi relied on himself for yardage; first carrying for seven, then throwing a short T. D. pass to Wakeman for the only Windsor score. A successful convert and a minute of uneventful play finished the half with the Hawks holding a 22-7 edge.



photo by gingerich

Windsor's Moore sweeps around Ballard

The second half started with the Lancers driving to the Hawk 50 yardline. Gary Jeffries picked off a Parichi pass on his own 23 ending the Lancer threat once again.

Now it seemed that neither team could get on track offensively. It was not until Windsor was pushed back to their own 10 yard line that the Hawks got on the board again. Glenn Baker's recovery of a Windsor fumble set up the fourth Hawk T. D. as Walker rambled into the end zone for his second major. Parker's convert ended the third quarter of play, the Hawks leading 29-7.

Windsor returned Cater's kickoff to their own 33 but failed to hold the ball for long. Parichi's

second pass was intercepted by Brohman at the Lancer 39. Seven plays later Alcock broke loose for a 14 yard run up the middle for Lutheran's final T. D. Parker's successful convert rounded out the day's scoring.



photo by gingerich

Walker yells moral support to troubled team mate

Womens' Lib

On Monday, September 28, Women's Liberation of Kitchener-Waterloo with the sponsorship of various departments of the 2 universities is presenting Evelyn Reed in a speaking engagement at the U. of W. Evelyn Reed is an internationally known spokeswoman and writer in the fields of anthropology, civil liberties and women's rights, and is the author of "Problems of Women's Liberation", an important guide to studies of the movement.

The topic she will speak on is "How Women Lost Control of their destiny and how they can regain it." It will deal with topics such as sex, marriage, and the family which are being currently discussed in women's liberation groups. The meeting is at 7:30 p.m. in Rm 271 of the Biology Bldg. at U. of W.

the cord thanks: art, art, bill, cathy, charles, dave, dave, david, el-anor, geoff, greg, john, joy, luba, ludwig, mark, rainer, roger, ron, shirley, uku, and special thanks to initiation for their kind comments about last weeks issue, and to tony for passing on the gratitude they were too modest to voice in our presence.

Hi-Line Volunteers

Interested in people? Give a damn? Like to help people? Maybe you should think of joining HI-LINE. HI-LINE, the telephone counselling service operated by and for students of both universities, will hold training sessions, starting next week. All training sessions will be held at U of W, 6th floor, Math and Computer Science building. Sessions are held from 7 p.m.-9 p.m. Lutheran students please meet in the Arts Foyer at 6:30 p.m. sharp.

Subjects and Speakers:
Tues. Sept. 29 The Value systems and Culture Shock: Dr. Morgenson

Thurs. Oct. 1 Resources and Referrals

Sat. Oct. 3 Sex, Abortion and Birth Control: Keith Dewar
Suicide: Al Evans

Tues. Oct. 6 The Drug Scene: Prof. Fred Kemp

Thurs. Oct. 8 The Art of Listening: Al Evans.

PLEASE TRY TO ATTEND ALL MEETINGS IF YOU ARE INTERESTED.

WE CARE

Problems, hung-up, need information, want to rap. Call Hi-Line. Hi-Line is a student operated telephone counselling service. The phones are manned by trained volunteer students who will talk about whatever you wish. If you have a problem you wish to talk over in complete confidence—give us a call. Hi-Line is open 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. 7 nights a week.

745-4733

WATERLOO TAXI

24-HOUR SERVICE **55 KING ST. N.** 30 Radio Dispatched Cars To Serve You
745-4763

ARE YOU FULLY REGISTERED?

All students are advised that obtaining an ID card is an integral part of registration.

It is required for admission to examinations, for withdrawing books from the Library, for admission to athletic events, and is valuable in obtaining discounts at various shops and theatres in the community.

ANY ID PHOTOS REQUIRED TO BE TAKEN AFTER SEPTEMBER 28 WILL BE CONSIDERED LATE REGISTRATION AND WILL CARRY A PENALTY OF \$5.00.

To arrange for ID photos, please contact Mrs. Bretz in Room 2C1 of the Arts Building.

LIBRARY BOOK SALE

SEPT. 28

9 AM - 5 PM

LIBRARY FOYER



the Royal Bank
is the helpful bank

Mary

Branches at: 70-74 King St. S., Albert & Hazel, King & University & Westmount & Erb.

SAME DAY SERVICE

220 KING ST. W.


SWAN CLEANERS

OPPOSITE WLU

10% Student Discount

WATERLOO

Rehearse for the Apocalypse



Yes folks! Now you can be the first on your block to experience the ecological disaster. Why wait till 1980? Don't let the future take you by surprise. Prepare now for the end of civilization. Rehearse for the apocalypse. Here are a few suggestions:

Better start preparing your palate and stomach for the fare of the 80s:

- * Mix detergent with everything you eat and drink. There's already quite a bit, but there will be a lot more in the future.
- * Learn how to digest grass and other common plants.
- * Start fattening your dog, cat, parakeet and guppies for the main course of the future.
- * Develop a taste for grubs and insects — your ancestors weren't too proud to lift a rock for their dinner.
- * Practise starving.
- * Every night before bedtime drink a glass of industrial and organic waste on the rocks (with mixer if you prefer).

Appreciating that most services and products will disappear over the next 10 to 20 years, we suggest this little dry run:

- * Turn off your gas.
- * Turn off your water.
- * Turn off your telephone.
- * Turn off your heat.
- * Turn off your electricity.
- * Sit naked on the floor and repeat this chant: PROGRESS IS OUR MOST IMPORTANT PRODUCT, PROGRESS IS OUR . . .

And as the final crisis approaches there's no better time to start hoarding. Start buying things you'll need after the Fall on credit — after the collapse no one will bother with collecting debts.

* While on the subject: start thinking about creative new uses for money since its present function will soon end. Remember, paper — particularly tissue — will be in short supply.

* Think about creative new uses for other potentially obsolete things like electric can openers, televisions, brassieres, toilets, alarm clocks, automobiles, etc.

* Accustom yourself to human body odor.

* Now is the time to learn a trade for the future. Practise making arrowheads and other implements out of stone. Advanced students should start experimenting with bronze.

* For those of you who are investment-

minded, buy land, but you'd better leave enough bread to also buy a small arsenal to defend your property.

* Remember Victory Gardens? Plant your Survival Garden now!

* Better quit smoking — or rip off a tobacco warehouse.

* Stockpile useful items like matches, safety pins, thread and needles, condoms, etc.

* Learn to shoot a bow and arrow.

* Start preparing for the fashions of the future. You girls might take a hint from the heroines of monster films and start tearing your clothing in tasteful but strategically-located tatters in order to create the Fay Wray look of tomorrow. Those less frivolous-minded among you should start cultivating your body hair. (Remember, a naked ape is a cold ape.)

* You housewives had better learn how to maim and kill with a Vegemetic.

Finally, everyone should buy a Boy Scout manual — or in lieu of that, buy a Boy Scout.

So, in facing the world of tomorrow remember: build for the future and contemplate suicide.