STUDENTS CONFRONT BOARD OF GOVERNORS

by David McKinley

The crisis concerning student participation in the administration of this university was escalated significantly Tuesday afternoon, as members of the Student Union attempted to present their views before the Board of Governors.

The original meeting of the Student Union took place in the ballroom at 1:00 p.m. Chairing the meeting was Peter Hyne. Director of Community Affairs, who outlined the ground rules for the meeting: there would only be discussion to specific motions; each speaker would only be allowed two minutes; and the meeting would adjourn at 3:00 P.M. (The ground rules were an attempt by the S.A.C. Executive to exercise greater control over the meeting than they had been able to exert over the General meeting the previous Wednesday. The previous General meeting had been prolonged by the "radical" elements of the student body; as many students left the meeting, the "radicals" were able to muster a majority, enabling them to pass a motion establishing a separate negotiating committee to press for parity. The passing of the motion showed there was a lack of

The President of S.A.C., John Buote, presented a report explaining the progress made on motions passed at the previous general meeting. The first motion had been for implementation of the Albright plan; Mr. Buote stated that there had been confusion as to whether the Albright plan was a formal policy of WLUFA. In any case, the plan

confidence in the S.A.C. Execu-

tive).



Board meeting where everyone suddenly wanted to be.



Colin McKay illustrates (a) typical admin stance.

would have to be approved by the Board of Governors. President Peters had informed John Buote that it was highly unlikely that the plan could be implemented before June, when the next meeting of the Board of Governors was scheduled. It was then announced that the Board was in fact presently meeting on the fifth floor of the teaching building. Many students questioned the motives of President Peters in not informing S.A.C. of this meeting.

John Buote informed the meeting that President Peters had stated that he would not meet with a student negotiating committee separate from S.A.C. However he would appoint a parallel negotiating committee, "without the power to negotiate" - the committee would only enter into discussion.

Student demands for implementation of the Albright plan and for parity were not discussed at Monday night's Senate meeting as they had not been placed on the agenda. A special procedure, involving a 2/3 vote of the Senate, would have enabled such items to be placed on the agenda. This was not done. Some student Senators felt it was good strategy not to initiate such procedures as it was not in the students interests to risk defeat in specific areas while plans were afoot to investigate all areas of responsibility within the university.

The Senate did pass what has come to be known as the "WLUFA WAFFLE". The "waffle" states that students should be consulted, in an advisory capacity only, on matters of hiring, firing, tenure, and promotion. Senate approval was also given to two new courses in the Graduate School of Social Work; it is expected that Joel Hartt will teach these courses.

The Senate did establish "Senate Commission on the Definition of the Responsibilities of the Various Segments of the University Community". The Commission would have ten members: one from the Board; two from the administration; two from faculty; two full-time students; one extra-mural student; one alumni; and one person from the community at large. The commission would report back to the Senate no later than March 1972.


Mr. Buote's report to the student meeting was attacked by some students as being coldly objective. Mr. Buote did allow that it appeared that the students were "being shafted". He suggested that the students approach the Board immediately, but no motion to that effect was made.

A motion was then passed demanding that the Senate Commission be able to set policy for the university and that the student union have a veto over who would serve on the committee. John Buote pointed out that the union was demanding things that were impossible.

A second motion passed called for an emergency meeting of the Senate to discuss parity on contract renewal committees.

on contract renewal committees.

A motion was proposed that the students immediately occupy the university until such time as parity on contract renewal committee be granted. There was then a motion to refer (that is, the motion to occupy the university would be put to the whole student body in the form of a referendum.) The Chairman ruled that the motion to refer



had to be voted on immediately. However, a successful appeal to the Chair prevented a vote being taken. A vote was taken on the original motion to occupy the university and was defeated by a significant majority.

A motion was passed having the student union meeting reconvened at the Board of Governors meeting, and demanding that the Board of Governors discuss the issues of parity and the Albright plan.

Within ten minutes, approximately 100 students had moved to the fifth floor of the teaching building, where the Board was meeting. After much discussion, the Board allowed John Buote and Dave Emmerson, Executive-Vice-President S.A.C., to make a ten minute presentation. They were instructed by the union to press for implementation of the Albright plan and to press for parity. In regards to the proposed Senate Commission, they were instructed to attempt to have the Commission allowed full access to all records of the university, and for the commission to be allowed open parameters in regards to the scope of their investigation. Mr. Buote and Mr. Emmerson were in the meeting for an hour and a half.

The Board decided that the parameters of the Senate Commission would include the Albright plan and the parity issue. Also, the Commission would be required to report on these two specific issues by May 20th, the time of the next Senate meeting. The Board rejected as "ridiculous" the student demand that the Commission be allowed to set university policy; student veto on the commission's make-up was also rejected. A suggestion to the Board by Dave Emmerson that a committee be struck to investigate matters of immediate concern (ie. the release of certain professors) was rejected because it was felt that such a committee would be a "slap in the face" to the SenTHE BOARD OF GOVERNERS OVERTURNED FACULTY COUNCILS DECISION THAT ALLOWED JOEL HARTT TO GO BEFORE THE APPEALS COMMITTEE UNDER RULES ESTABLISHED LAST YEAR. NOW: NO TRANSCRIPT; NO LAWYER. VP HEALEY, WHEN CONTACTED, CLAIMED THAT BOF G DID NOT "OVERRULE" OR "VETO" FACULTY DECISION. A ROSE BY ANY OTHER SMELL... (THE B OF G SUPPOSEDLY MAKES FINANCIAL DECISIONS.) IF STUDENTS ACT IN THIS MANNER THEY ARE CALLED EITHER IMMATURE OR IRRESPONSIBLE.

• The B of G has decided to hear presentations on behalf of the Albright plan at the next meeting of their executive to be held during the first week of April. Professor Blackmore, Chairman of WLUFA, will be invited to send a brief; President Peters will make a presentation; and the S.A.C. Executive hopes to be allowed to make a presentation.

• The Board suggested that an emergency meeting of the Senate be called in an attempt to have the issue of parity placed high on the Senate Commission's agenda.

VIOLENCE AVERTED AT BOARD MEETING

by David McKinley

The student confrontation of the Board of Governors meeting Tuesday afternoon produced two isolated incidents that could have degenerated into violence.

By the time students had arrived on the fifth floor of the Teaching Building, members of the campus security force were stationed at the doors of the Board's meeting room. Dean of Students, Fred Nichols, and Director of Educational Services. Colin McKay were also present. John Buote was allowed into the meeting to ask that the students be allowed to present their demands; as he emerged, an unidentified person attempted to rush the door and shouted for others to follow. Colin McKay physically restrained the person from entering the meeting. Mr. McKay was unable to obtain the person's name, but it was mentioned by some people that he was a student at the University of Waterloo. Other students claimed that the mysterious militant had been pushed into the doorway and had had no real intention of entering the

room.

A potentially more explosive

incident involved Barry Lyon, Director of Publications for the university, who was taking pictures of those students assembled. Many students felt that the pictures would be used by the Administration to "get" those present. A student, reportedly from the University of Waterloo, told Mr. Lyons that if his picture was taken he would take Mr. Lyon's camera away; whereupon Mr. Lyon immediately took the student's picture. If it had not been for the timely arrival of a number of Lettermen, Mr. Lyon would have found himself in very serious trouble. As it was, the Lettermen were able to restrain those attempting to separate Mr. Lyon from his camera. He continued to take pictures until told to stop by a security guard. Despite the bitter rhetoric that followed, the were no futher physical encounters.

The reasons for the presence of a university cameraman are highly questionable. Colin McKay and Dean Nichols could have identified most of those students present. Mr. Lyon's presence served only to antagonize the students. The presence of outside agitators in such a situation is also questionable.

Ludwig von Ichabod

Times are changing here at Lutheran. There are conspiracies going about that people should become aware of. But with the advent of examinations in four weeks time, who gives a damn.

Two weeks back (Feb. 26) the Perth County Conspiracy Concert gave the campus a real boost in the social arm. Winter Carnival had failed to materialize with its major Concert of the Year, so South Hall Mens Residence House Council with its progressive president decided to put on a concert themselves. Tickets were very reasonably priced; advance tickets were sold out. However, not to disappoint the multitudinous folks who wanted to listen to the Conspiracy, the concert was held over for another night.

The Perth County Conspiracy group, as you may know, is a commune of folks who live around the Stratford, Harington, Brussels, Tavistock area. The members own or rent about seven farms; however, not all the "conspirators" are farmers. The conspiracy is a mixed bag of artists, artisans, and musicians, and interested people. Not all the members live on the farms, but are part of the commune.

At the concert, the four chief musicians that turned the audience on are Cedric Smith (acoustical) Richard Keelan (acoustical and Appalachian dulcimer) Terry Jones (acoustical and lead electric guitar) and Michael Butler (bassist). Fred Baue, a special guest, also entertained with mindblowing instruments, such as 98¢ sprinkler hose, singing to the song of "Doggie in the Window".

The Conspiracy attempt to show that each piece of life the music, the paintings, poetry, and theatre—cannot be separated from the whole. Their music attempt to speak the peace and beauty that can be found in their particular form of life. This is the alternative to the contemporary "straight" society lifestyle; in their music they attempt to demonstrate this. Moreover, some of their songs reflect the changing trend of thought: one cannot hang onto the past "with stories of old" - but we do. Their songs carry messages that are contemporary, and meaningful, especially to the new breed of young adults.

Possibily the most significant line that ran through the entire concert was: "The whole thing is that the soul sings flat when the people are out of tune." You can interpret that any way you wish and it still comes out meaningful, and relevant to the individual. It speaks a basic truth that often we forget or ignore because it is right in front of us.

What really caught my interest is that there is a changing trend in men's residents. In vears past, the res were just a place to study, and sleep. Now residences are becoming more involved with the function of the students on campus. The movement away from esoteric stags, restricted only to residents, are something of the past; right now, the swing is towards involvement of all students: the spirit of community has finally struck the students at Lutheran. It is a good trend; weekends, for next year anyway, will no longer be dead. It will be worth the students' while to remain and participate.

The Perth County Conspiracy Concert had drawn over 980 on Friday, and because there was a lack of advance publicity on the hold-over, only 350 came on Saturday. However, the turnout is significant. It goes to show that Subog is not the only entertainment deity on campus. Residences too can initiate successful concerts, dances, and what-have-you. This year the frontier to a wider, better social life on campus is opened by progressive, initiative people who are not merely doing their thing, but doing it for you as well. Next year, if this trend continues, and Ludwig predicts it will, Lutheran social life is something worth looking forward to.

Speaking of suddsy social life, I have been approached, and reproached by members of Subog that I haven't given them a plug on their Pub on Campus for the past while. Hell, man, here it is.

In the history of the long line of off-campus pubs, Subog pubnites are definitely the best yet. In the olden days, beer drinkers and socializers had to walk dismal blocks and blocks up to Caesar's Forum; later it was KofC at Weber. Ever since the Pub's were established on campus way back in November, Subog is having its greatest success—to counterbalance its fiascos.

Subog discovered that holding dances was a losing proposition; however dancing with alcohol incentive was quite popular with students who still like to hold up the eons-old tradition that students can hold a helluva lot of beer and hardstuff. So seemingly, the direction Subog took was to abolish, or abort everything else, like teenybopperish, economically unfeasible dances, and Sunday movies. for the Pubnites. In this concentration, one way of looking at it, is good. On Thursday Pubnites, the bands are better than ever, top groups are contracted; and admission prices are reasonable. Bands such as Rain, Good Tyme Revue, and Whiplash are popular, they belt out a good

tune, er sound. It seems that Subog is finally gaining a winner.

As a matter of fact, Pubnites are so good, that the decision to end Pubnites this Thursday with Major Hooples Boarding House was postponed, and three additional pubs be on up til April first, with groups like Whiplash and Rain again.

However, although Pubnite is successful there are still segments of this campus who are not over joyed with Subog's heavy concentration on the Pubs. In theory, Pub nites are held for people over the age of 21; this means that underaged people cannot be admitted. Moreover, the Ball Room can only hold approx 400 customers; this is a limitation to the number of socializers. Now, we all know that there are daring underaged souls who beg, borrow, steal other peoples ID's so they can enjoy Pubnites. The point is there are other timid souls who would not dare. Now if we look at the general level of age groupings at Lutheran, there are about half the campus population under the age of 21. Therefore they are being penalized, by the concentration of Subog on Pubs. They are not being provided entertainment by the Subog that takes a hellova lot of their money for Student Activity Fees. This is not fair. On campus, we need a more diversified diet of entertainment. We are being restricted, my friends, with Subog's lack of insight into this matter; or' is it that Subog don't give a damn about the other students. Not all of us are 21; not all of us are so deceitful as to use other peoples ID. Oh, the shame! Furthermore with Subog concentrating in this area, we might be turning into alcoholics, because we lack the smorgasbord of other activities to stimulate us.

However, in having pubnites, Subog is helping needy 21plus old students to earn a few extra dollars to spend. This is good. It seems that SAC and related committees should, through its own finances, make jobs, petty jobs so a few students may be able to have some loose cash to spend on the pooltables, pinball machines, cigarets, etc. However, we know that this problem will not be looked into, because SAC is fighting bigger and better things for the students at large, such as parity, censorship of Cord and related publications, etc.


Ho-hum, meanwhile back at the attack of Subog by the notorious Ludwig, Subog should still be patted on the back. The people in Subog really worked their damndest to please the students at large. Much have they succeeded. They have made the academic year a little more bearable. But still, much they have ignored, or left undone. This should be corrected immediately so next year, the students may not suffer unduly because they forgot the possibility that there are other things in life other than' pubs. Perhaps it is the testament of the times that diversification is always preached, but we still follow concentration, because it is easier.

Let it be known throughout the realm of Cord readers and critics alike that Ludwig was damned surprised at the showing of the Students Strike. However, my conclusions are still sound. We are still playing in the sandbox; however, we have learned to flick the gravel into the mouths and eyes of the Administration with our puny shovels. In short, there are students here that are concerned

with academic education at WLU. Also, though, we are copying the tactics used by other universities-the general rise of indignation turning slowly to violent means. Yes, love, students at Lutheran are becoming impatient, militant, and are having shitdisturbers from other universities, notably from Uniwat that have no real interest in our afairs, mouth off. This is not good. Certainly, other universities should be sympathetic to our plight, and give support to us-however, it should not be in the way these shitdisturbers are doing which does nothing good for us. Shitdisturbing for entertainment or for the hell of it is no good. We need results. Are we getting results?

I need not reiterate the donnybrook that broke loose on Tuesday. However the march up to the fifth floor of the Teaching Building by a handful of malcontents is significant. It goes to show there is still strong feeling towards our objectives, even after the Strike. It shows that students mean business, no more of this bullshit of committees to study committees. I am sure the Board of Governors were shocked; now they realize the seriousness of the strike. However, unfortunately this impromptu demonstration not change their minds, it will only strengthen their beliefs that students should not have the responsibility that the members of the Board hold, or that the Senate holds. We have acted; they will react. Against us. Even the schedule of the meeting of the Board of Governors do not suit the students. By the time they meet, most of us will be working or be unemployed for the summer. And we will have other personal problems to attend to, so we postpone our anger towards the mess in the Admin. Thus time is against us. And with next year's crop of freshmen who do not know the issues at hand, the senior students again must generate student power, and educate the freshmen in the spirit of rebellion, and knowledge that you don't learn in classrooms. This might take a half year. Again time is against us.

The issues are not dead; as of this very moment there are people who are devoting time to see that we the students gain a share in education. I hope, however, that these incensed, "radical" students remember when they become the Establishment and the Status Quo, that the new generation will also have demands to make upon them. It seems that the past generation has forgotten this. Age and experience has completely taken over youthful idealism and needs. Frustra-



CHARTRAND IS COMING

8 pm 1E1 WEDNESDAY MARCH 17

waterloo steering committee for citizens commission for enquiry into the war measures

Interview with Frank Father Peters

by John Barber

Father Frank, President of WLU, stated frankly Monday that the student demand for parity is unrealistic, and that it leaves no room for negotiation. Students could not be trusted not to reveal priveleged information at open meetings if they were to participate on the committees that rule on hiring. firing, and tenure, and that the functioning of these committees would break down since the members of those organs would fear to say those things that should

Student Board of

be said, in camera. The administration will not deal with any Union, but will adhere to the Operating Procedures Agreement, and negotiate only with SAC, as outlined in that agreement.

President Peters also contended he does not believe that the Senate, having rejected the concept of student representation by turning down the 2:x proposal, would reverse itself, since the lines may well have hardened during the last three

When asked about the press release that was distributed committee set up by the Student on campus on March 1, he said that he did not put it out, and that while his own classes had been fairly well attended, attendance had certainly gone down on Tuesday, and that probably about one half of the students had boycotted classes. "The students," he said, have made a point, and made it well".

> The President considers that both the faculty and the students may bring biases into the committees dealing with contract renewals and tenure. The students may dislike a professor who has, or is about to fail him, and a faculty member may find it expedient to consider his own job security and work situation when evaluating another faculty member. Both students and faculty may also react, either positively or negatively to what he called a "halo effect", and this must be solved by the academic integrity of those involved.

> He also stated that he did not think a confrontation was inevitable, and that he hoped thatthe administration.... "will be able to solve these problems". If they are not, then we are headed toward a collision course. The future holds a different kind of government structure on this campus. Both the Senate and the Board of Governors will increase in power and responsibility.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO NEW THEATRE LABORATORY

July 12 to August 13

A full-time workshop providing intensive training in the techniques of the New Theatre.

For complete information:

Division of University Extension 84 Queen's Park Toronto 181, Ontario (416) 928-2400

PROSPECTIVE LAW SCHOOL APPLICANTS

Interested in studying law? Consider the Faculty of Law. The University of Western Ontario:

Application forms and calendars are available in the PLACEMENT AND STUDENT AWARDS OFFICE

Candidates are advised to submit applications and Law School Admission Test results by the earliest possible date.

Further information can be obtained by mail, telephone or through a personal interview with the Admissions Officer, Faculty of Law, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario.

519-679-3439

519-679-2989

NOTICE

Publications%

At a meeting of the Student Board of Publications on Tuesday, March 2 the following were chosen for positions on the 1971 - 1972 board:

Business Manager Advertising Manager - Al Wilson

- Bill McManus

Photo Manager

- Jim Gingerich

Directory Editor Keystone Editor

Cord Editor

 Mike McLoughlin - Bruce Wallace

- Paul Jones

On behalf of the Student Board of Publications, Bill Scott, chairman

Cord Weekly · Keystone · Chiaroscuro · Campus Directory

OFFICIAL STUDENT FLIGHTS

Over 50 Flights To Europe

Write A.O.S.C. 44 St. George St., Toronto 5, Ontario (921 - 2611)

Budget, renta car


RENT A BUDGET CAR

- * All makes & models
- * Free pickup & delivery
- *Special low rates

500 King St. East

Kitchener

578-5900



Open Thursday and Friday nites. C.O.D. orders accepted. Credit and Chargex cards honored.

196 King St. West, Kitchener





RYERSON PRESS BECOMES AN AMERICAN SUBSIDIARY. American professors outnumber Canadians in the Social Sciences in many universities. The majority of our natural resources are the property of American or British or Japanese companies. At what cost to our ecology? Foreign ownership of our prime recreational land is on the increase. At what cost to the birthright of our future children if not ourselves? The major economic and political decisions that shape the future of Canadians are not made in Ottawa or Toronto or Montreal but New York and Washington. Canadian Nationalism has often been criticized for its Anti-Americanism. The reason for this is quite simple, it is the American culture and economy that pose the greatest threat to Canadian Identity and the nationalists therefore seek a defense against this threat. Canadian history has been characterized by the fight both for political autonomy from Great Britain and an independent place in North America beside the United States. The cry for Economic Nationalism, the control of our own economy, has increased in proportion to the rate of Foreign takeovers of Canadian business. In 1963 there were 35 takeovers, in 1967 there were 79, in 1968 another 155 and the rate continues. Granted, many of there companies are small and unimportant but many are natural resource or media companies. An increasing number of Canadians are becoming concerned about the retention of our National Identity.

IN FEBRUARY OF 1970, PETER NEWMAN the past editor in chief of the Toronto Daily Star and now of Maclean's, Walter Gordon, and Abraham Rotestein, an economist, formed a group that came to be known as the Committee for an Independent Canada, a non-partisan political movement designed to move the federal government towards a more nationalistic stance. This group grew to a Committee of thirteen that included Jack McClelland, Claude Ryan, Alvin Hamilton and Eddie Goodman. This group has expanded into a national sponsoring committee of about 200. This group includes a rich sample of Canadian political, cultural and economic leaders. The notable absentees of this group are the leaders of the Liberal Party. Many thousands of Canadians have taken out memberships in the CIC and many more have signed the national scroll which urges the federal government to adopt a more nationalist policy. The minimum goal of this petition is 100,000 signatures. The CIC feels that the time for action is now. The loss of control of 606 Canadian firms in the last seven years as well as the export by American subsidiaries in Canada of \$1.8 billion dollars in Capital in the same period enforce this opinion. Americans are beginning to demand Canadian energy resources at a time when Canadians have grown suspicious of an economy that feeds itself at the cost of more pollution, social inequality, and an escalating war in Indo-China. Canadians realize that the opportunity to secure the national identity is now.

and nation nt of time. like love.

in Toront makes nd

ducks file of Lake Q this. nber it that I was

To have a way to the streets s our own. of a burni

That we ha ore absurdity. some luci and mem is about an ask for,


a place to

DHELWIG



NATIONAL

COMMITTEE FOR AN INDEPENDENT CANADA A COMITÉ POUR L'INDÉPENDANCE DU CANADA



Statement of Purpose

We believe that Canadians today share a surging mood of self-awareness. But this mood must be translated into effective policies, or we may risk the erosion of Canadian independence by default. Government guidelines and vague political promises are no

The Committee for an been established to speak for the survival of this country It represents men and women from every corner of Canada, of all ages, professions and political hues; who believe that meaningful independence can only be secured by an active process that involves the day-to-day participation of concerned citizens which will lead to government action.

We realize the benefits that Canada derives from being part of the western hemisphere and we do not want to close this country to the foreign capital which it may need. But our land won't be ours much longer if we allow it to continue to be sold out to foreign owners. Not if we allow another information media.

If we are to ensure this country's survival, our governments must adopt legislative policies that will

significantly diminish the influence presently exerted by outside powers - their citizens, their corporations and their institutions on Canadian life. We believe that the federal parliament together with provincial legislatures in relevant areas of their jurisdiction, must take urgent measures in the following areas: 1. The present level of

foreign ownership of the economy is unsatisfactory for Canadians since major decisions affecting our economic life are taken outside this country. We urge the Government to implement policies designed to increase the proportion of Canadian ownership, including the establishment of a federal agency to super vise the conduct of foreign-controlled operations in Canada, and in particular any new take-overs. We draw early establishment of a Canada Development Corporation and the policy on sale of our

energy resources. 2. To foster a national development program, we urge the greater allocation of resources. both private and public - to the less well developed regions of the country.

3. Safeguarding Canadian control as well as a reasonable amount of Canadian news and content in our newspapers, magazines, radio, television stattions and cable TV networks should form an essential part of Government palicy. Adequate financial support must be provided to achieve

4. We are convinced that trade unions in Canada must have the autonomy necessary for them to reflect the aspirations of their Canadian membership

5. Within their respective jurisdictions, governments at all three levels must become more active in the fight against pollution, even when cleaning up our environment infringes on established vested interests. This emphatically includes the protection of Canadian jurisdiction in our

portant functions of our educational institutions is to enhance our cultural life. This means that without in any way isolating ourselves from the benefits to be ob-

6. One of the most im-

tained abroad there should be a reasonable degree of information about Canada. in curriculum and a reasonable knowledge about Canada on the part of the members of the teaching personnel

7. Since foreign policy is an extension of domestic policy, the objectives stated here should he reflécted and supported in our relations with all other nation's. A general foreign policy designed to ensure Canadian independence must be formulated by our Government and its implications followed abroad.

We are convinced that a majority of Quebeckers prefer to remain within Confederation With this the case, it is in the best interest of Quebec and Canada as a whole that we should work together to promote genuine independence for Canada.

The Committee for an Independent Canada has been created to enlist the support of all Canadians in urging their MP's and MLA's to make Canada's survival as an independent nation their top priority

Canada can survive as a separate independent nation, but whether we will survive is quite another matter.

We live beside the most powerful nation the world has ever seen. Because of its widespread influence perhaps I should say we live beside the most powerful Empire the world has ever seen. This being so, is it possible for Canada to try to resist the economic, political, cultural and social pressures of our powerful neighbour? Or would it make more sense as well as being a lot easier for us to merge in some way with the United States? Some Canadians believe quite sincerely that sooner or later, this is inevitable anyway, at least in the economic sphere. If this is so, they argue, why should we bother to resist?

There is now a much real or serious, this awareness on the part of mean there is any firm dians, especially on the asus about what shou the younger generation what is going on. But

more Canadians are now You may think these of the fact that we are posals printed on the process of being absorbing are rather mild. Cert the embrace of our very re is nothing revolution ful neighbour, there is a nout them. This was to wition and purpose in sensus about what, if any we should do about it. them. The Committe Independent Canada the situation drifts.

roots non-partisantization that seeks s There are those who the answer to U.S. domis lies in the nationalization m everyone. industry in Canada and mation of a left-wing so Now let me review so state. But Canadians as a questions that critics have given no indicatio sed about the proposa

they would support allo ward by the Commit cialism at the national not believe we shall be While, therefore, the imof the United States ate prospect of a left por later and that it is a socialist solution may will time to try to do an





Any country ling, and nationally lime, like love

in Toronto in m makes nothing

ducks flying in ht of Lake Ontain that I was the it.

To have a count a way to en mul streets of a burning county.

That we have a psurdity some luck and memor and ducks is about as much sk for, a place to start.

ELWIG

THE CANADIAN LIBERATION MOVEMENT SEES AS its task the struggle for an independent socialist Canada: to unite all those classes, strata, national groups and patriotic personalities who can be united to fight U.S. imperialism." It is much more of a political movement than the CIC which seeks only to exert a strong yet forceful lobby on the existing parties to adopt a more nationalistic stance. The CLM publishes a monthly paper called the New Canada which presents news from a rather socialistic perspective, to the point of Canadian Social racism. Articles such as "U.S. not Russia, plunders salmon from OUR ocean" and "Palestinians lead fight against U.S. imperialism in the Middle East" by George Haggar (ex-WLU professor), a Canadian patriotic personality. The CIC is not attached, except in the Common goal of Canadian Independence, with the CLM

PIERRE TRUDEAU, IS NOT A NATIONALIST; he dismisses the idea with disgust. The CIC does not want to attack any one party, but the Liberal 'continentalists' are in power and therefore are making the dangerous decision. The CIC will have to attack the Liberals federally and the Ontario P.C.'s provincially because they allowed Ryerson Press to expatriate without help. The CIC has a common goal of independence yet its diversity in membership has not allowed it to establish the methods, whether socialism or capitalism, by which a repatriation of companies could occur.

THE GOVERNMENT HAS TAKEN MEASURES TO increase Canadian content in the electronic media. It, perhaps influenced by our technological-savant, McLuhan, has chosen to ignore the publishing industry. When will we have more Canadian magazines; the Canadian editions of Time and Readers Digest are absurd. The University Press must increase its responsibility for the distribution of Canadian news. We must have an increase in the amount of Canadian authored textbooks, but when the publishers are American owned, who makes these decisions? The universities must enjoy Canadians almost exclusively in the social sciences. The Ontario Institute for Studies in Education (OISE), the main policy development agency of the secondary and primary schools of the country has increased its American staff to 50%. These are the people who are planning the education of Canadian children.

WHEN A GALLUP POLL WAS TAKEN in Ontario 1970, 46% of all Canadians approved of a plan to reduce American ownership in Canada even if the standard of living were to drop, whereas 32% were opposed. So, maybe we have a chance to "KEEP it Canadian" and "DRAW THE LINE AT FORTY-NINE."







SURVIVAL

The K.W.Committee for an Independent Canada is very active as is the University of Waterloo group which presented a two day teach in on Canadian Nationalism. Lutheran also has a small but enthusiastic group that will be zeeking mambers in urder to tager an active program next year when they hope to hold a teach in

here is now a much product or serious, this does areness on the part of Can a there is any firm conns, especially on the part about what should be younger generation.

at is going on. But whi

re Canadians are now any may think these nine the fact that we are in the printed on the left cess of being absorbed trather mild. Certainly, embrace of our very power withing revolutionary neighbour, there is no them. This was the insus about what, if anythin and purpose in draft-should do about it. And m. The Committee for situation drifts.

There are those who believes non-partisan or-

answer to U.S. domination that seeks support in the nationalization prone.

lustry in Canada and the h

ation of a left-wing social at me review some of the But Canadians as a winding that critics have be given no indication in thout the proposals put by would support all out by the Committee. I dism at the national levelieve we shall become alle, therefore, the immore the United States sooner prospect of a left we and that it is a waste challet solution may not to try to do anything

about it. It need not happen if enough Canadians feel strongly enough about the advantages of a continuing independent Canada. If we don't care what happens, or if too many of us don't care, then we deserve to lose our national independence. However, if we do care about this issue, and so demonstrate, then steps can be taken-by our governments at both the federal and provincial levelswhich will ensure our continued freedom and independence as a separate nation. The purpose of the Committee for an Independent Canada is to provide a focus for those who feel this should be done. If a large number show this by becoming members of the Committee, it should provide the stimulus that will cause our governments

I believe it is a safe prediction that, barring war, the average incomes and the average standard of living of Canadians will continue to rise in the next two or three decades as they have in the last two. Even if we were to curtail foreign investment inflows very drastically—and this is not proposed by the Committee—the effect on this continued rise in our average incomes would be only marginal.


We should continue to export our surplus raw materials to the United States and other countries—although we should take every opportunity to ensure that such materials are processed to the fullest possible extent in Canada. We should also supervise the prices at which such materials are sold to ensure that Canada gets the best deal possible. This is of particular importance in the case of sales by wholly owned Canadian subsidiaries to their foreign parents—and perhaps should be the responsibility of the proposed government agency.

I believe that there is a considerable difference between our culture and our sense of values and those of the United States. Despite recent events in Quebec, there is less violence here. On the whole, we seem to be a quieter, less volatile, less aggressive people. Perhaps also there is a little less overconcentration on material things in Canada—or in some parts of it at least—then there is across the line. Like everyone else, we have our problems

-unemployment, poverty, regional disparities in living standards, the question of Quebec, and the dominating influence of the United States on all phases of our lives. What we want is to be left alone to resolve these problems, to develop our own way of life and our own sense of values in our own way. If we are to achieve these objectives, we must retain our freedom and sovereignty or, if you prefer the term, our independence as a nation.

If you agree with these longterm objectives and if you believe a non-partisan organization like the Committee for an Independent Canada can help us to achieve them, then I ask you to enrol as members of the Committee.







The CORD WEEKLY

The Cord Weekly is published once a week by the Board of Publication of Waterloo Lutheran University. Editorial opinions are independent of the University. Student's Administrative Council and the Board of Publications. The Cord is a member of the Canadian University Press service.

Office: Student Union Building

Phone: 745-6119

Editor-In-Chief: Tonu Aun Acting Managing Editor: Paul Jones News Editor: Steve Young Sports Editor: Andres Loosberg

Photo Editor: Jim Gingerich Ad Manager: Al Wilson Publications Chairman: Bill Scott



The Globe and Mail

Waterloo Lutheran

Group fails to crash governors' meeting

Special to The Globe and Mail

WATERLOO — About 150 students of Waterloo Lutheran University, campaigning for student representation on a committee that renews professors' contracts, attempted to storm a board of governors' meeting yesterday.

They rushed into the building where the meeting was under way, ran up five flights of stairs; pounded on the door of the meeting room and stamped their feet on the corridor floor.

The noise went on for 10 minutes before university security chief John Ball, who had dashed to the governors' meeting room by a back route as soon as the troble started, finally opened the door.

At one point a student hurled himself through the open door, colliding with the powerfully built security guard. Mr. Ball promptly threw the student out of the room.

Students in the corridor said the evicted man did not attend Waterloo, and the student refused to say who he was.

Several times the door to the lecture room was opened and closed while negotiations went on with the board of governors before it admitted student council president John B o at e and vice-president David Emmerson to discuss their grievance. The student leaders had been granted 10 minutes to present their case to the governors, but they were in the room for an hour.

During the meeting the students outside in the corridor remained quiet. They left when Mr. Boate and Mr. Emmerson emerged from the meeting.

At the meeting Mr. Boate asked for equal student representation with the faculty on the contract renewal committee. Dr. Frank Peters, president of the university, told him the governors could not approve this because a 10-man commission is now being

set up to investigate student complaints that they are being ignored in policy-making

Dr. Peters said a report from the commission is expected in May.

The move to break into the governors' meeting had not been planned and happened on the spur of the moment during a general student meeting in an adjacent building.

"Suddenly everybody was on their feet and moving toward the board of governors' meeting and everything happened very fast after that," one student said.

Refusal by the university senate of a student request for equal representation on the contract renewal committee on March 1 triggered a two-day boycott of classes last week.

At a student meeting on Friday, Mr. Boate said he would try to get the issue on the agenda of the senate on Monday night.

It was not discussed and the more militant students, estimated to represent about 5 per cent of the university's 2,800 student body, staged yesterday's meeting.

The clamor for student representation on the committee began two weeks ago when temporary contracts of three faculty members were not renewed for economic reasons.

The students, who are not represented at all on the renewal committee, also want the university to accept a report drawn up by a professor of economics at Waterloo, Dr. Paul Albright. The report called for absorption into the faculty of professors those who are not retained in their respective departments because of economic problems within the departments.

Dr. Peters said after the governors' meeting yesterday that he will meet Mr. Boate and Mr. Emmerson today for further discussions. Mr. Boate said he plans another student meeting today to decide on further measures.

The above is from Wednesday's Globe, page 8.

The article is almost humourous in its gross factual errors. Everything from changing Buote to Boate, no one "ran up the stairs" everyone used the escalators. Mr Ball's back route was the elevator, the strike started on March 1 while the Senate meeting was on February 11. "the clamor" began a hell of a long time more than two weeks ago, plus very many more errors. In fact almost every "fact" is distorted.

What makes the article so frightening, cancelling my humourous overtones, is the Globe and Mails reputation as a "good, accurate" newspaper. How much more do "poor" newspapers distort reality?



LETTERS

Re lack of more public communication and debate with the faculty on issues of our strike, it seems the biasness of the Cord Weekly has at last shown itself and proved that the paper does not in fact represent "both sides of that picture", or perhaps of any picture.

Recently being informed of a written opinion or letter by a member of the faculty Psychology Department who had given permission for his opinions to be publicized, and yet did not receive any acknowledgement of this, let alone any publication of his letter in a subsequent issue of the Cord. can only make us, or any students aware of this, wonder as to the truth of the paper, where an issue as controversial as was the strike does not warrant faculty opinion publications.

It seems that while Dr. Peter's official statement are mentioned, after that it is a student paper, complying therefore to only student opinions. Such a paper is not and can never be valid.

Gloria Chumko Mary Ellen Billo

Dear Misses Chumko and Billo

Your allegations caused considerable consternation in the Cord Office. It had always been our policy to print every letter we receive. The subsequent investigation of your complaint absolved us of any guilt in not printing the letter you refer to

Checking with you we learned that the professor in question was Mr. Aurbach Phoning Mr. Aurbach we learned that preceding the strike the SAC parity committee had sent a questionnaire to all faculty to learn their views towards parity. Mr. Aurbach enclosed the mysterious missing letter with his returned questionnaire.

Members of the parity committee upon receipt of the letter went to Aurbach asking if he would permit the letter's publication along with their rebuttal. It was further agreed that Aurbach could then refute the committee's points.

If this material had reached us, it assuredly would have been printed—it would have made good copy. We have yet to see Mr. Aurbach's letter.

The point is, that to have a letter published, the Cord must receive the letter. If, as you claim, Mr. Aurbach blamed the Cord for not publishing his letter then he is in error. Your uncritical acceptance of his accusation is intellectual turpitude.

Your actions and letter have illustrated the underlying fault with not only our educational system but with our society as well—the reliance on authority in lieu of thinking.

ZELDA

STRIKE

I would like to point out a paradox about the present strike the students at WLU are participating in. As I understand it, the students want parity in the decision making of teacher contract renewals. In other words, students want a hand in the administration of this University.

However, do the students have a hand in their own student administration. Since one half of the students at WLU, ever more with the extension students included in the student body, do not support the strike, I begin to wonder why the student government has given its full economic support to finance the strike. Yet the full student body does not support the strike, not even the majority. And still, at a time of economic problems with our government, money is continually being thrown about without much consideration to the student body as a whole.

Let's not talk about apathy within the student body. At least the student administration should have some respect for all the dollars put in (\$63.00) by each student, whether apathetic or active. Dollar for dollar, not dollar for voice, consideration should be given by our government, so that the whole student body does not fall victim to financing extravagant student activists.


> Walt Johanson, West Hall

Dear Walt,

Sorry, but the paradox is only in your mind. Students have as much voice in SAC constitutionally guaranteed as they are willing to use (i.e. work). If only one person was willing to work then obviously his voice only would determine SAC policy. Presently no matter how hard a student works he still has no guarantee of having a hand in contract renewals.

Your financial argument is pure hogwash since most of your premises are wrong 'Full economic support' meant less than a few hundred dollars — SAC cash flow this year is close to a quarter of a million dollars. That means that a hell of a lot of money is being spent on things other than

(continued on page 8)



Commission on Post-Secondary Education in Ontario

PUBLIC HEARING


The Commission is arranging the next set of Public Hearings in selected centres throughout Ontario, to provide full opportunity for all interested individuals and organizations to express opinions and offer discussion related to the development of post secondary education in the province

A hearing is planned for your area onTHURS., APRIL 1st Briefs to be presented should be submitted to the Commission by MARCH 26thTime and place of the hearing will be published at a later date.

Details of the Commission's terms of reference and the procedure for the submission of briefs may be obtained from:

Commission on Post-Secondary Education in Ontario,
505 University Avenue, Suite 203,

Toronto 101, Ontario.





12TH BIG WEEK

Nominated For 7 Academy Awards INCLUDING

BEST PICTURE BEST ACTOR BEST ACTRESS

5 SHOWINGS DAILY AT 1:45 - 3:50 - 5:55 - 7:55 - 10:10 2ND LAST SHOW 7:35 P.M. LAST SHOW AT 9:50



ADULT ENTERTAINMENT

Ali MacGraw - Ryan O'Neal COLOR



2ND WEEK Opens 6:45 p.m. 2 Showings At 7 & 9 p.m. Matinee Sat.

At 2 p.m.






'NO BLADE OF GRASS At 6:40 & 9:15 p.m. "The Five Man Army" At 8:25 p.m. Last Complete Show 8:25

Yesterday, they were decent people letting their environment die. Now, they are savages.

killing to keep themselves alive. Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer presents








ANNA CALDER MARSHALL, TIMOTHY DALTON Entertainment

Wuthering Heights



220 King St. N.

SWAN CLEANERS

10% STUDENT DISCOUNT

A QUICK, CLEAN TRIP

Same Day Service

Continued from page 6

the strike. Second, extension students do not yet pay any student fees, therefore they are not members of SAC. Third, your argument carried to its conclusion is that SAC monies should only be used if all students agree to their use in any specific manner. Sometimes money should be spent on minority groups since democracy is more than dictatorship by the majority—democracy also safeguards the interests of minorities.

One more question we would like to pose is, 'how, other than by intuition, do you know one half of the student body is against the strike?' At the general meeting, where the vote to strike was taken, a very large majority were in favor of striking. People who did not attend that meeting can only be considered as undecided—they showed that they could care less whether there was or was not a strike.

Kindly bear in mind that we have not argued that the strike was the best tactic nor even that parity is just; all we have done is question your logic.

ZELDA

view, to communicate the idea that I regret the attacks that have been directed against Dr. Little on a personal level by many who cannot accept his decision to terminate my contract. While I disagree with his decision. I do not question his motivation nor his integrity. Dr. Little has been very kind to me personally in my three years at Lutheran, and I find him to be a fairminded man. I think that in the question of the non-renewal of my contract he was simply mistaken in his judgement. But it is an even more serious error, for those who consider themselves my supporters, to go beyond the evidence and infer malice on his part. I am satisfied that Dr. Little made his decision in consultation with the other tenured members of the Philosophy Department on the basis of what they thought was their responsibility to the broader needs of the University. What I question is whether he judged correctly those broader needs and/or the needs of his department, and 1

question whether he had the legal or moral right to recommend non-renewal of my contract for the reasons he has given me.

(2) A paragraph of the article states, in part. "The strike committee may not be fighting for the interests of the majority of students...." The point I wanted to make was this: the strike committee is fighting for student interests, thus, for the interests of all students, although it is probably true that a majority of students do not see, vet, that parity is in the interests of better education and academic excellence. and is therefore, in the interests of students. In this, I would be prepared to argue that the apathetic majority, if it is a majority, is demonstrably wrong.

Joel Hartt,

2 BEDROOM APTS available Now Apr. 1, May 1.

Brand New Buildings Close to University \$150 per month.

> Day 745-1108 Evenings 744-1033



9 Erb Street W. WATERLOO, ONTARIO

★ FIRE

★ AUTO

744-5274 ★ CASUALTY

WATERLOO TAXI

24-HOUR SERVICE

55 KING ST. N.

30 Radio Dispatched Cars To Serve You



745-4763

HARTT

I should like to add a footnote to the article by David McKinley, "Hartt, the Man and the Issue", which appeared in the Feb. 26 edition of the Cord Weekly.

In general, I thought that the article was well written and representative of our interview, with the exception of two points.

(1) I attempted, in the inter-

ABORTIONS ARE LEGAL IN NEW YORK

For information, counselling and immediate hospital appointments call:

Pregnancy Control Center, Inc. 16 West 86th Street, New York, New York 212 873-1496





Free Delivery on Food order over \$2.00

If "life insurance" turns you off, how does "Money for Living" grab you?

A key word in life insurance is "life". Because it's for the living. You and your beneficiary.

Put simply, you and Aid Association for Lutherans make a contract to reach a certain financial goal. As you build toward that goal, your contract amasses "living" money that could be the basis for all your plans for the future. Money you can use for any purpose — down payment on a home, new

car, business of your own, rainy day fund.

Right now you're probably in good health and can buy "money for living" insurance at the lowest possible rate. Have a no obligation visit with your AAL representative. He'll help you put some "life" in life insurance. He represents our common concern for human worth.

Theodore H. Luft Agency 86 Wedgewood Drive Kitchener, Ontario, Canada

Aid Association for Lutherans Appleton, Wisconsin Fraternalife Insurance

Life • Health • Retirement



THE PEOPLE FOR "SOUND" IDEAS



212 KING ST. E., HAMILTON 528-6389 153 KING ST. W., KITCHENER 748-9741 119 KING ST. LONDON 438-0191