

Wilfrid Laurier University

Scholars Commons @ Laurier

Psychology Faculty Publications

Psychology

2010

Sex-Related Differences in Vocal Responses to Pitch Feedback Perturbations During Sustained Vocalization

Zhaocong Chen
Sun Yat-sen University

Peng Liu
Sun Yat-sen University

Jeffery A. Jones
Wilfrid Laurier University, jjones@wlu.ca

Dongfeng Huang
Sun Yat-sen University

Hanjun Liu
Sun Yat-sen University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholars.wlu.ca/psyc_faculty



Part of the [Psychiatry and Psychology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Chen, Z., Liu, P., Jones, J. A., Huang, D., & Liu, H. (2010). Sex-related differences in vocal responses to pitch feedback perturbations during sustained vocalization. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 128, EL355. DOI: 10.1121/1.3509124.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Psychology at Scholars Commons @ Laurier. It has been accepted for inclusion in Psychology Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholars Commons @ Laurier. For more information, please contact scholarscommons@wlu.ca.

Sex-related differences in vocal responses to pitch feedback perturbations during sustained vocalization

Zhaocong Chen, Peng Liu, Jeffery A. Jones, Dongfeng Huang, and Hanjun Liu

Citation: *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **128**, EL355 (2010); doi: 10.1121/1.3509124

View online: <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.3509124>

View Table of Contents: <http://asa.scitation.org/toc/jas/128/6>

Published by the *Acoustical Society of America*

Articles you may be interested in

[Effects of simultaneous perturbations of voice pitch and loudness feedback on voice \$F_0\$ and amplitude control](#)
The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **121**, 2862 (2007); 10.1121/1.2715657

[Voice \$F_0\$ responses to manipulations in pitch feedback](#)
The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **103**, 3153 (1998); 10.1121/1.423073

[Auditory feedback control of voice fundamental frequency in school children](#)
The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **128**, 1306 (2010); 10.1121/1.3467773

[Vocal responses to unanticipated perturbations in voice loudness feedback: An automatic mechanism for stabilizing voice amplitude](#)
The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **119**, 2363 (2006); 10.1121/1.2173513

[Perceptual calibration of \$F_0\$ production: Evidence from feedback perturbation](#)
The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **108**, 1246 (2000); 10.1121/1.1288414

[Opposing and following vocal responses to pitch-shifted auditory feedback: Evidence for different mechanisms of voice pitch control](#)
The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America **132**, 2468 (2012); 10.1121/1.4746984

Sex-related differences in vocal responses to pitch feedback perturbations during sustained vocalization

Zhaocong Chen and Peng Liu

*Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510080, People's Republic of China
lawrence.sums@gmail.com, liupeng2@mail.sysu.edu.cn*

Jeffery A. Jones

*Department of Psychology and Center for Cognitive Neuroscience, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3C5, Canada
jjones@wlu.ca*

Dongfeng Huang and Hanjun Liu^{a)}

*Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510080, People's Republic of China
huangdf@mail.sysu.edu.cn, lhanjun@mail.sysu.edu.cn*

Abstract: The present study assessed the effect of sex on voice fundamental frequency (F_0) responses to pitch feedback perturbations during sustained vocalization. Sixty-four native-Mandarin speakers heard their voice pitch feedback shifted at ± 50 , ± 100 , or ± 200 cents for 200 ms, five times during each vocalization. The results showed that, as compared to female speakers, male speakers produced significantly larger but slower vocal responses to the pitch-shifted stimuli. These findings reveal a modulation of vocal response as a function of sex, and suggest that there may be a differential processing of vocal pitch feedback perturbations between men and women.

© 2010 Acoustical Society of America

PACS numbers: 43.70.Bk, 43.70.Gr [AL]

Date Received: August 4, 2010 Date Accepted: October 6, 2010

1. Introduction

In recent years, researchers have exposed speakers to altered auditory feedback to explore the mechanisms underlying the control of voice fundamental frequency (F_0). This research demonstrates that speakers respond to pitch feedback perturbations by rapidly shifting their F_0 production in the direction opposite to the shifted auditory feedback in an effort to stabilize their production of sustained vowels (Hain *et al.*, 2000) or speech phrases (Liu *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, multiple lines of evidence have shown that vocal responses to pitch feedback perturbations are modulated not only as a function of the properties of the stimulus (perturbation magnitude, direction, etc.) (Liu and Larson, 2007) but also according to the demands of the specific vocal tasks (e.g., speaking vs singing) (Natke *et al.*, 2003).

Although stimulus- or task-dependent modulation of vocal responses to perturbations in voice auditory feedback has been extensively investigated, little research has been focused on whether auditory feedback is used differently to control voice F_0 in different populations (Russo *et al.*, 2008; Liu *et al.*, 2010). In particular, there is no published report indicating whether male speakers differ from female speakers in their vocal responses to pitch feedback perturbations during sustained phonation. To date,

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

previous studies have assumed that no sex effect exists for the online processing of auditory feedback perturbations. As a consequence, many of the previous studies used convenience sampling and almost exclusively involved female participants (Hain *et al.*, 2000; Larson *et al.*, 2007).

Clear anatomical differences across the sexes such as the size of the larynx do exist (Titze, 1989). These differences are the primary reason that women have average F_0 values that are 1.45–1.7 times higher than men's averaged F_0 values (Monsen and Engebretson, 1977; Klatt and Klatt, 1990). Functional brain studies have also indicated sex differences in terms of pitch processing with women relying on less lateralized processing strategies than men (Salmelin *et al.*, 1999; Gaab *et al.*, 2003). Thus, it is reasonable to hypothesize that these anatomical and functional differences across the sexes may lead to a differential processing of pitch perturbations in voice auditory feedback between men and women during sustained vowels. In the present study, native-Mandarin-speaking men and women were exposed to pitch-shifted auditory feedback to assess the role of sex in the processing of auditory feedback regarding F_0 .

2. Methods

2.1 Subjects

Sixty-four native-Mandarin-speaking subjects (aged: 19–27 yr, 32 men) participated in the experiment. They reported no history of any hearing, language, speech, or neurological disorders. All the subjects signed the consent form approved by Institutional Review Board of The First Affiliated Hospital at Sun Yat-sen University of China.

2.2 Apparatus

The experiment was conducted in an acoustically shielded chamber. The subjects' voices were recorded through a Genuine Shupu (Guangdong Province, China) microphone (model SM-306), amplified with a Mark of the Unicorn (MOTU) Ultralite Mk3 FireWire (Cambridge, MA) audio interface, and pitch-shifted with an Eventide Eclipse Harmonizer (Little Ferry, NJ), and then played back to subjects through Fostex (Akishima City, Tokyo, Japan) headphones (model T20RP mkII). Prior to the experiments, the recording system was acoustically calibrated so that the intensity of the feedback was 10 dB sound pressure level (SPL) higher than that of the voice output. A MIDI computer program developed with MAX/MSP (v. 5.0 by Cycling 74) was used to control the stimulus parameters (e.g., magnitude, direction, duration, etc.) through the Eventide Eclipse Harmonizer. A transistor–transistor logical (TTL) pulse was used to indicate the onset and offset of the pitch-shift stimulus. The voice, feedback, and TTL pulses were digitized at 10 kHz by a PowerLab analog-to-digital (A/D) converter (model ML880, AD Instruments, Castle Hill, Australia) and recorded using LABCHART software (v. 7.0 by AD Instruments).

2.3 Procedures

Subjects were asked to vocalize a vowel sound /u/ for approximately 5 s at their comfortable F_0 . During each vocalization, voice feedback was randomly pitch-shifted upward or downward five times. Each pitch-shift stimulus had a fixed duration of 200 ms. The first pitch-shift stimulus in the sequence of five for each vocalization was presented randomly between 500 and 1000 ms after vocal onset, and the succeeding stimuli had an inter-stimulus interval varying between 700 and 900 ms. Subjects produced 12 consecutive vocalizations in each of the three blocks in the experimental session, generating a total of 60 perturbations. Within each block, the pitch perturbation magnitude was fixed at ± 50 , ± 100 , or ± 200 cents (100 cents = 1 semitone). The direction of the perturbation was randomized across the utterances within a block, leading to 30 upward and 30 downward perturbations. Presentation of the blocks was randomized across the subjects. Event-related averaging was used to measure the magnitude and latency of vocal responses (Larson *et al.*, 2008) in IGOR PRO [v. 6.0 by Wavemetrics




Fig. 1. Representative voice F_0 contours to +100 cents stimuli. Horizontal dense dotted lines denote ± 2 SDs of the pre-stimulus mean averaged F_0 and vertical dashed lines denote the onset and offset time of the response. Horizontal sparse dashed lines indicate the place where response magnitude is measured. Pitch-shift stimulus onset is at time 0.0.

Inc. (Lake Oswego, OR)] (see Fig. 1). A valid response was defined as a change in the F_0 contour that exceeded a value of two standard deviations (SDs) of the pre-stimulus mean beginning at least 60 ms after the stimulus and lasting at least 50 ms. Response latency was measured as the time from the stimulus onset at which the response exceeded two SDs of the pre-stimulus mean, and the response magnitude was measured as the difference between the pre-stimulus mean and the greatest or lowest value of the F_0 contour following the response onset. Absolute values of response magnitude and latency were statistically analyzed using spss (v. 16.0). A repeated-measures analysis of variance (RMANOVA) was used to test for significant differences in response magnitude and latency across all conditions. Probability values were corrected for multiple degrees of freedom using Greenhouse–Geisser if the assumption of sphericity was violated. The corrected p values were reported along with the original degrees of freedom.

3. Results

A three-way (stimulus magnitude, stimulus direction, and sex) RMANOVA was performed on the response magnitude, and the results revealed significant main effects of stimulus magnitude [$F(2, 124) = 4.595, p = 0.012$] and sex [$F(1, 62) = 5.659, p = 0.020$] [see Fig. 2(A)]. Male speakers (mean \pm SD: 15.37 ± 7.09 cents) produced significantly larger vocal response magnitudes than female speakers (13.32 ± 5.97 cents). *Post-hoc* Bonferroni tests indicated that 100 cents stimuli (15.39 ± 5.18 cents) yielded significantly larger response magnitude than 50 cents stimuli (13.18 ± 5.53 cents) ($p = 0.006$) across all the participants. Statistical analysis, however, revealed no main effect of stimulus direction on the response magnitude [$F(1, 62) = 3.004, p = 0.088$], in which upward direction (13.89 ± 6.57 cents) produced similar response magnitude compared to downward direction (14.89 ± 6.72 cents). Significant interactions among these three variables were not observed either.

Analysis of the response latency using a three-way RMANOVA showed significant main effects of stimulus direction [$F(1, 62) = 8.934, p = 0.004$] and sex [$F(1, 62) = 4.688, p = 0.034$] [see Fig. 2(B)] but not of stimulus magnitude [$F(2, 124) = 2.691, p = 0.072$]. Upward stimuli (115 ± 66 ms) yielded significantly longer latency than downward stimuli (99 ± 51 ms). Male speakers (114 ± 61 ms) produced significantly longer latency compared to female speakers (101 ± 57 ms). There were no significant interactions among these three variables.

In addition, the averaged voice F_0 values were calculated from the baseline voice prior to the stimulus onset (i.e., 200 ms pre-stimulus period) across all conditions




Fig. 2. (A) Averaged response magnitude (SD) as a function of stimulus magnitude for male and female speakers. (B) Averaged response latency (SD) across stimulus direction for male and female speakers.

for each subject. As expected, female speakers (285 ± 45 Hz) produced significantly higher voice F_0 values than male speakers (184 ± 44 Hz) ($t = -21.364$, $df = 350$, $p < 0.001$). An analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), where voice F_0 level as a covariate and stimulus magnitude and direction as fixed factors, was performed on all the response data. The results showed a significant effect of voice F_0 level [$F(1, 345) = 6.708$, $p = 0.010$], where higher voice F_0 level yielded smaller response magnitude ($r = -0.17$). Stimulus magnitude also had a significant effect on the response magnitude [$F(1, 345) = 3.507$, $p = 0.031$]. The subsequent ANCOVAs performed on the data from female and male speakers separately, however, revealed no effect of voice F_0 level on response magnitude for either women [$F(1, 161) = 0.129$, $p = 0.719$; $r = -0.12$] or men [$F(1, 177) = 0.315$, $p = 0.575$; $r = -0.083$]. In addition, no significant main effects of stimulus magnitude or direction were observed either ($p > 0.05$). Regarding response latency, there was no main effect of voice F_0 level for all the latency data or those from male or female speakers ($p > 0.05$).

4. Discussion

Anatomical differences in the larynx of men and women (Titze, 1989), as well as functional differences in the processing of pitch (Salmelin *et al.*, 1999; Gaab *et al.*, 2003), suggest the possibility that a speaker's sex might affect vocal responses to pitch feedback perturbations during vocalization. The results of the present study showed that male speakers produced significantly larger but slower responses to pitch-shifted perturbations than female speakers. These findings indicate that sex represents one of the many important factors that contribute to the online processing of auditory feedback during sustained vocalization.

One possible explanation for larger vocal responses produced by men than by women is that, regardless of sex, speakers with lower voice F_0 levels produce larger vocal compensation responses. Men, on average, have lower voice F_0 levels than women, and in this study, this difference was significant. Indeed, we found an effect of the voice F_0 as the covariate on the response magnitude when the data were collapsed over sex, where the higher voice F_0 level yielded smaller vocal responses. In a previous study, vocal responses were found to be modulated as a function of voice F_0 level (Liu and Larson, 2007). However, in that study, vocal responses were found to be larger when speakers produced *higher* F_0 values relative to the vocal responses produced during lower F_0 productions (Liu and Larson, 2007). Moreover, in our study, the ANCOVAs revealed no effect of the voice F_0 level on the response magnitude or latency within each sex. Therefore, it is unlikely that vocal response differences we observed across men and

women are merely the result of the overall difference between their vocal pitches. At present, the source of this sex-based modulation of corrective vocal responses is unknown and could lie at the peripheral (e.g., intrinsic laryngeal muscles) or at the cortical level (e.g., auditory cortex).

In contrast to the larger vocal responses produced by male speakers, female speakers produced faster response latencies than male speakers. One possible reason is that women took less time to initiate compensation for the pitch feedback perturbations than men. This interpretation is complementary to a study by [Xu and Sun \(2002\)](#) regarding the maximum speed of pitch change during speech production. In that study, female speakers used less time than male speakers in the acceleration and deceleration phases of pitch changes. The physiological differences between men and women could be responsible for such differences in executing pitch changes. Differences between men and women in voice F_0 are primarily accounted for by differences in thickness, mass, and length of the vocal folds; women's vocal folds are characterized by shorter length, less thickness, and mass compared with men's vocal folds ([Titze, 1989](#)). These physiological characteristics associated with female speakers may cause less laryngeal inertia during vocalization, which in turn results in initiating pitch change/vocal compensation faster than male speakers.

The present findings also showed an effect of stimulus magnitude on the response magnitude, where 100 cents stimuli yielded larger response magnitudes than 50 cents stimuli. Although it would seem logical for response magnitudes to be positively correlated to the amplitude of pitch perturbations, a stimulus size-dependent modulation of response magnitude is not always observed. For example, [Liu and Larson \(2007\)](#) reported that larger response magnitudes were associated with the larger stimulus sizes, while two other studies utilizing a similar paradigm did not find this effect ([Chen *et al.*, 2007](#); [Larson *et al.*, 2008](#)). Similarly, the present study found downward pitch-shift stimuli elicited faster/shorter response latencies than upward stimuli. Although this finding is consistent with the results reported by [Larson *et al.* \(2008\)](#), systematic changes in response latencies as a function of stimulus direction were not found in other studies ([Chen *et al.*, 2007](#); [Larson *et al.*, 2007](#)). Since these studies were conducted using a similar paradigm to the one used in our study, it is likely that the inconsistent results are due to other factors that differ across the studies such as the different language backgrounds of the participants (Mandarin vs English) or manipulations of somatosensation using anesthesia.

In summary, the present study demonstrates sex-specific processing of pitch feedback perturbations during sustained vocalization. Along with other previous studies, these findings suggest that in addition to the stimulus properties and vocal tasks, the characteristics of the participants such as sex, language experience, or vocal function may make important contributions to the mechanisms underlying the voice F_0 control. Although, at this point, we are unclear of the source of the observed differences across the sexes in their responses to auditory feedback, it is clear that the sex of the participants must be considered in future studies.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, Grant Nos. 30970965 and 31070990) and Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 9151008901000053).

References and links

- Chen, S. H., Liu, H., Xu, Y., and Larson, C. R. (2007). "Voice F_0 responses to pitch-shifted voice feedback during English speech," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **121**, 1157–1163.
- Gaab, N., Keenan, J. P., and Schlaug, G. (2003). "The effects of gender on the neural substrates of pitch memory," *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* **15**, 810–820.
- Hain, T. C., Burnett, T. A., Kiran, S., Larson, C. R., Singh, S., and Kenney, M. K. (2000). "Instructing subjects to make a voluntary response reveals the presence of two components to the audio-vocal reflex," *Exp. Brain Res.* **130**, 133–141.

- Klatt, D. H., and Klatt, L. C. (1990). "Analysis, synthesis, and perception of voice quality variations among female and male talkers," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **87**, 820–857.
- Larson, C. R., Altman, K. W., Liu, H., and Hain, T. C. (2008). "Interactions between auditory and somatosensory feedback for voice F_0 control," *Exp. Brain Res.* **187**, 613–621.
- Larson, C. R., Sun, J., and Hain, T. C. (2007). "Effects of simultaneous perturbations of voice pitch and loudness feedback on voice F_0 and amplitude control," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **121**, 2862–2872.
- Liu, H., and Larson, C. R. (2007). "Effects of perturbation magnitude and voice F_0 level on the pitch-shift reflex," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **122**, 3671–3677.
- Liu, H., Russo, N., and Larson, C. R. (2010). "Age-related differences in vocal responses to pitch feedback perturbations: A preliminary study," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **127**, 1042–1046.
- Liu, H., Xu, Y., and Larson, C. R. (2009). "Attenuation of vocal responses to pitch perturbations during Mandarin speech," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **125**, 2299–2306.
- Monsen, R. B., and Engebretson, A. M. (1977). "Study of variations in the male and female glottal wave," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **62**, 981–993.
- Natke, U., Donath, T. M., and Kalveram, K. T. (2003). "Control of voice fundamental frequency in speaking versus singing," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **113**, 1587–1593.
- Russo, N., Larson, C., and Kraus, N. (2008). "Audio-vocal system regulation in children with autism spectrum disorders," *Exp. Brain Res.* **188**, 111–124.
- Salmelin, R., Schnitzler, A., Parkkonen, L., Biermann, K., Helenius, P., Kiviniemi, K., Kuukka, K., Schmitz, F., and Freund, H. (1999). "Native language, gender, and functional organization of the auditory cortex," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **96**, 10460–10465.
- Titze, I. R. (1989). "Physiologic and acoustic differences between male and female voices," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **85**, 1699–1707.
- Xu, Y., and Sun, X. (2002). "Maximum speed of pitch change and how it may relate to speech," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **111**, 1399–1413.